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#### Abstract

An efficient synthetic route to an A1/A2difunctionalized pillar[5]arene containing resolvable planar chirality has been developed and the arene employed as a strut in the synthesis of P5A-MOF-1, which has been demonstrated by X-ray powder diffraction analysissupported by modeling-to be isoreticular with MOF-5. This metal-organic framework has an active domain that expresses good and selective uptake of neutral and positively charged electron-poor aromatic guests, which effect color changes of the cubic crystals from faint yellow to deep orange, arising from charge transfer between the guests and active domain of P5A-MOF-1.


Macrocycles such as cyclodextrins, ${ }^{1}$ crown ethers, ${ }^{2}$ calixarenes, ${ }^{3}$ cucurbiturils, ${ }^{4}$ and cyclophanes ${ }^{5}$ have become an integral part of host-guest chemistry. ${ }^{6}$ A relatively new class of macrocycles to enter the field, the pillararenes, ${ }^{7}$ are analogues of calixarenes composed of five, six, or seven hydroquinone rings linked through their para-positions by methylene bridges. Since pillar[5]arene was first introduced as a novel macrocycle by Ogoshi and co-workers in 2008, ${ }^{7 \mathrm{a}}$ the chemistry of the pillararenes has been developed steadily, and they have been shown to have applications in liquid crystals, ${ }^{7 q}$ artificial transmembrane channels, ${ }^{7 \mathrm{p}}$ nanoparticle formation, ${ }^{70}$ and sensing. ${ }^{7 j}$ Here we report the synthesis of an A1/A2difunctionalized ${ }^{8}$ pillar[5]arene that undergoes cross-coupling reactions to create a rigid strut which is then incorporated into a metal-organic framework ${ }^{9}$ (MOF) having an active domain ${ }^{10}$ containing docking sites for electron-poor guests.

MOFs with organic struts incorporating macrocycles have been used recently ${ }^{10}$ to prepare extended frameworks with active domains, which, as a result of highly favorable and specific noncovalent interactions, play host to a well-ordered distribution of guest molecules. In 2009, we described ${ }^{10 a}$ the use of a $\pi$ -electron-rich BPP34C10-functionalized organic strut in the synthesis of MOF-1001 which was shown to soak up the $\pi$ -electron-poor guest, methyl viologen. MOFs containing active domains show promise in the fields of chromatographic separation ${ }^{11}$ and sensing, ${ }^{12}$ thereby making designer organic struts containing novel macrocycles attractive synthetic targets.

We have developed a synthetic protocol (Scheme 1) to obtain an A1/A2-difunctionalized ${ }^{8}$ pillar[5]arene organic strut, starting from 1 , which is made through the co-cyclization of 1,4 dimethoxybenzene and 1,4-bis(3-bromopropoxy)benzene, sim-

Scheme 1. Synthesis of A1/A2-Difunctionalized Pillar[5]arene Organic Strut ${ }^{a}$
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X-Ray Crystal Structure of 3
${ }^{a}$ In the X -ray crystal structure of $3, \mathrm{C}$ is gray, O is red, H is white; alkyl H atoms are omitted for clarity.
ilar to our previously reported ${ }^{7 j}$ reaction for preparing monofunctionalized pillar[5]arene. Compound 1 undergoes
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Figure 1. Solid-state structure of 5 ( C is gray, O is red) which displays planar chirality and does not racemize between the $R_{\mathrm{p}}-5$ (left) and $S_{\mathrm{p}}-5$ (right) enantiomers. A DMF molecule and hydrogens have been removed from the structure to aid visual clarity.
elimination to give the diallyl ether 2, which was deprotected using standard conditions ${ }^{13}$ to give the A1/A2-dihydroxypillar[5]arene 3. Single crystals of 3, suitable for X-ray crystallography, were grown; the solid-state structure ${ }^{14}$ (Scheme 1) of 3 shows that the hydroquinone unit is oriented in a direction opposite to that adopted by the 1,4-dimethoxybenzene units to support two intramolecular hydrogen bonds.

Compound 3, when treated with triflic anhydride, affords the ditriflate 4. Ogoshi and co-workers ${ }^{7 c}$ showed that a pertriflated pillar[5]arene can undergo 10 Pd -catalyzed cross-couplings to give a highly conjugated pillar[5]arene. In similar fashion, 4 can be converted into a rigid strut 5 by means of a Pd-catalyzed Suzuki reaction with 4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenylboronic acid, followed by saponification of the intermediate diester. The solidstate structure of 5 (Figure 1) was elucidated by single-crystal Xray analysis ${ }^{15}$ using crystals grown from diffusion of MeOH into a solution of $\mathbf{5}$ in DMF. The analysis indicates the presence of enantiomers in the unit cell. In keeping with its molecular $C_{2}$ symmetry, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (see SI) ${ }^{16}$ of 5 displays two pairs of doublets for the two homotopic pairs of constitutionally hetereotopic methylene groups-where in each case the protons are diastereotopic, ${ }^{17}$ given the fact that 5 is conformationally rigid-and a singlet for the remaining constitutionally hetereotopic methylene group lying on the $C_{2}$ axis, which renders its methylene protons homotopic. The conformational rigidity of the pillar[5]arene-based strut 5 means that it exists as (potentially resolvable ${ }^{18,19}$ ) enantiomers, $R_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $S_{\mathrm{p}}$ (Figure 1) due to the molecule's planar chirality. ${ }^{20}$ Compound 5 demonstrates that only two bulky monosubstituted phenyl rings are required at the A1/A2 positions on a pillar[5]arene to impart resolvable planar chirality ${ }^{21}$ upon its constitution.

The pillar[5] arene-based strut 5 has been used to synthesize a MOF (Figure 2a) with $\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ secondary building units (SBUs) which is isoreticular to MOF-5. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~b}}$ P5A-MOF-1 was prepared in a conventional manner by heating a mixture of 5 and Zn $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in DMF at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 24 h . The crystals (Figure 3a) of P5A-MOF-1 are cubic and transparent. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) confirmed their crystallinity (Figure 2b), while thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed to determine their thermal stability: a one-step TGA profile shows that P5A-MOF-1 is stable to $450{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystals of P5A-MOF-1 were activated using supercritical $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and a NLDFT surface area of $300 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ was obtained from a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ isotherm (see SI).

Single-crystal X-ray data obtained for P5A-MOF-1 were not well enough resolved to discern the solid-state structure of the extended framework as a result of disorder within the MOF itself.


Figure 2. (a) Model of P5A-MOF-1 (pillar[5]arene macrocycles are red, terphenylene moieties are black, zinc SBUs are yellow). (b) Experimental PXRD pattern for P5A-MOF-1 (black), calculated PXRD patterns for P5A-MOF-1 in a $P 1$ space group (red) and a $P m 3 m$ space group (green), and PXRD pattern for IRMOF-16 (blue). See SI for full PXRD of P5A-MOF-1.

We believe this disorder arises principally from the rotational freedom of pillar[5]arenes around every terphenylene linker in the extended structure and a random distribution of "enantiomeric" pillar[5]arenes associated with their planar chirality.

The extended structure of P5A-MOF-1 was modeled (see SI) using non-interpenetrated IRMOF- $16^{9 e}$ as the backbone and incorporating pillar[5]arenes with randomly distributed chiralities and orientations with respect to the terphenylene linkers. The geometry of the predicted structure was optimized to give a cubic unit cell with dimensions of $a=b=c=42.980 \AA$ and a space group of P1. The simulated PXRD pattern of the modeled structure matches closely with the experimental one for P5A-MOF-1 (Figure 2b). An alternative approach to modeling the extended structure with a $P m 3 m$ space group was also pursued to determine if a model with higher symmetry might also fit the experimental MOF data. In this alternative model, each organic strut, ordered throughout the 3D framework, contains four pillar[5]arene rings in the shape of both "enantiomers" in two different orientations, each with a 0.25 occupancy disorder. Although the cubic cell dimensions of the model are identical with those of the first model, the simulated PXRD pattern presents extra peaks (Figure 2b), including a sharp 001 reflection.
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Figure 3. (Top) Optical microscopy images of P5A-MOF-1 (a) with no guest (scale bar, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), (b) after uptake of G2•2 $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (scale bar, 100 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, and (c) after uptake of G3 (scale bar, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). (Bottom) Electronpoor compounds used in guest uptake studies with P5A-MOF-1: $N$ hexylpyridinium cation $\left(\mathbf{G 1}^{+}\right), N, N^{\prime}$-dihexyl-4,4-bipyridinium dication $\left(\mathbf{G} 2^{2+}\right)$, and 1,4-dinitrobenzene (G3).

Thus, it seems that the lower symmetry model provides a better match with the experimental data.

We investigated the ability of P5A-MOF-1 to take up guests (Figure 3). At the outset, however, we evaluated the ability of the strut 5 to form complexes with three guests: ${ }^{22}$ the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$salts of $N$ hexylpyridinium cation ( $\mathbf{G} 1^{+}$) and $N, N^{\prime}$-dihexyl-4,4-bipyridinium dication ( $\mathbf{G} \mathbf{2}^{2+}$ ), as well as the neutral 1,4-dinitrobenzene (G3). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titrations in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ revealed association constants $\left(K_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ between 5 and G1 $\cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}, \mathrm{G} 2 \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and G3 of 43.2 $\pm 2.9,170 \pm 50$, and $66.2 \pm 1.9 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$, respectively.

Samples of P5A-MOF-1 were suspended in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ prior to guest uptake experiments to remove excess of DMF from within the framework. The samples were then introduced into saturated solutions of G1 $\cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, G2 $\cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and G3 in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$. With G2 $\cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and G3, the crystals underwent an immediate color change (Figure 3b,c) from faint yellow to deep orange upon addition of the guests, most likely because of charge-transfer interactions between the guests and P5A-MOF-1. The MOF samples were allowed to take up guests for 12 h before they were washed with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ and dissolved in DMSO- $d_{6} /$ TFA- $d$ and their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra recorded. Integration of appropriate probe protons led to quantification of the uptake of guests by the MOF (Table 1). IRMOF-16-OPX, prepared from an oligo-p-xylene (OPX) derivative ${ }^{23}$ (S3 in SI) of $p$-terphenyl-4,4" $4^{\prime \prime}$ dicarboxylic acid, ${ }^{24}$ was used as a control. Although both P5A-MOF-1 and IRMOF-16-OPX are isoreticular with IRMOF-16, the latter does not have an active domain. The guest uptake experiments were performed under identical conditions for both MOFs.

P5A-MOF-1 takes up G1. $\mathrm{PF}_{6}, \mathbf{G} 2 \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and $\mathbf{G} 3$ from their saturated solutions in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ in moderate to high amounts. Table 1 lists the mole ratios of the guest to the organic strut found in the MOF. The fact that, under identical conditions, P5A-MOF-1 takes up a significantly larger amount of each guest than does IRMOF-16-OPX suggests the active domain of P5A-MOF$\mathbf{1}$ is able to interact with guest molecules through favorable noncovalent bonding interactions. Uptake of adamantane, which

Table 1. Mole Ratio of Guest to Organic Strut in P5A-MOF-1 and IRMOF-16-OPX, Obtained from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectra after Guest Uptake and Digestion of MOF ${ }^{a}$

|  | P5A-MOF-1 | IRMOF-16-OPX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-Guest Uptake Experiments |  |  |
| G1 ${ }^{+}$ | 0.755 | 0.121 |
| $\mathbf{G} 2^{2+}$ | 0.366 | 0.125 |
| G3 | 0.293 | 0.084 |
| Two-Guest Uptake Experiments |  |  |
| G1 ${ }^{+}+\mathbf{G} 2^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | $0.091 \mathrm{G1}^{+} / 0.176 \mathrm{G}^{2+}$ | $0.089 \mathrm{G1}^{+} / 0.069 \mathbf{G 2}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ |
| G1 ${ }^{+}+\mathrm{G} 3$ | $0.090 \mathrm{G1}^{+} / 0.127 \mathrm{G} 3$ | $0.027 \mathrm{G1}^{+} / 0.032 \mathrm{G} 3$ |
| $\mathbf{G 2}{ }^{\mathbf{2 +}}+\mathbf{G} 3$ | $0.310 \mathbf{G 2}^{2+} / 0.100 \mathbf{G} 3$ | $0.068 \mathbf{G} \mathbf{2}^{2+} / 0.014 \mathrm{G} 3$ |

${ }^{a}$ Uptake with a single guest was performed with a saturated solution of the guest in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$. Uptake with two guests was performed in a $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ solution with each guest at 40.0 mM . $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of guest with 5 determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}: \mathbf{G 1}^{+}, 43.2 \pm 2.9$ $\mathrm{M}^{-1} ; \mathbf{G} 2^{2+}, 170 \pm 50 \mathrm{M}^{-1} ; \mathbf{G} 3,66.2 \pm 1.9 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$.
has been shown ${ }^{7 a}$ to be too large to reside inside the cavity of pillar[5]arene, but small enough to pass through the pores of either MOF, was similar for both P5A-MOF-1 and IRMOF-16OPX (see SI).

In a final experiment, P5A-MOF-1 was suspended in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ with equimolar concentrations $(40.0 \mathrm{mM})$ of two different guests to determine if there is preferential uptake of one guest over the other. While we envisioned that the observed guest-to-MOF ratios would depend to some extent on the $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of the guests with 5 in solution, other factors, including the sizes and diffusion rates of the guests, might also be significant. P5A-MOF-1 showed almost twice the uptake of $\mathrm{G} 2 \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ compared to $\mathrm{G} \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (Table 1), reflecting the larger $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value for the former than the latter in binding 5. Under identical conditions, the uptake by IRMOF-16-OPX of these two guests is very similar, as expected. Comparable results, which reflect ratios of $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values, can be observed when P5A-MOF-1 is exposed to equimolar combinations of the other guests (Table 1).

The rigid stereochemistry associated with the planar chirality of the strut 5 means that it should be possible, after resolving 5 , to prepare "enantiomeric" P5A-MOF- 1 samples without fear of $\mathbf{5}$ racemizing during the synthesis (at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the MOF. The prospect of being able to prepare chiral, enantiomerically pure, pillar[5]arene-containing MOFs to separate racemic mixtures of appropriate analytes is being pursued in our laboratories.
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