SPECIFIC ADSORPTION OF HALIDE AND PSEUDOHALIDE IONS AT ELECTROCHEMICALLY ROUGHENED VERSUS SMOOTH SILVER–AQUEOUS INTERFACES
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The differential capacitance of electrochemically roughened silver surfaces in mixed perchlorate electrolytes containing chloride, bromide, iodide, thiocyanate, or azide anions has been measured as a function of electrode potential and anion concentration. These results are compared with corresponding data for electropolished silver in order to ascertain the influence of surface roughening on the double-layer structure and composition of polycrystalline silver–aqueous interfaces. The surface concentrations of specifically adsorbed anions were obtained from these capacitance–potential data using a "Hurwitz–Parsons" type of analysis. Although electrochemical roughening by means of a conventional oxidation–reduction cycle in chloride media is a prerequisite to the appearance of Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS) for these adsorbates, it yields only moderate (ca. 1.5- to 2-fold) increases in the actual surface area and has a relatively minor effect on their average surface concentration. However, roughening does induce noticeable changes in the morphology of the capacitance–potential curves which are traced to alterations in the surface-crystallite structure. Comparisons between the potential dependence of SERS with corresponding capacitance–potential data indicate that anion coverages close to a monolayer are necessary for stable SERS. This is attributed to the stabilization of Raman-active silver clusters by surrounding adsorbed anions.

1. Introduction

The discovery of Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS) from adsorbates at silver electrodes that have been roughened by means of a prior “oxidation–reduction cycle” (ORC) [1] has generated intense interest in characterizing the nature of the physical phenomena involved. The effect shows promise of providing a valuable in situ spectroscopic tool for elucidating the microscopic structure of metal–electrolyte interfaces. A central question to be addressed for this purpose is the relationship between the nature and intensity
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of the SERS signals and the structure and composition of the metal–electrolyte interfaces, especially the surface concentration of the adsorbate acting as the Raman scatterer. However, little progress on this matter has been made to date; the required studies of the interfacial composition under conditions where SERS are observed have largely been conspicuous by their absence.

Halide and pseudo-halide anions form an especially interesting series of model adsorbates for fundamental SERS studies in view of their simple structure and tendency to adsorb strongly at a number of solid metals, including the silver–aqueous interface which has been utilized in most Raman investigations so far. Most importantly, the anionic surface concentrations can be determined quantitatively from measurements of the differential double-layer capacitance \( C_d \) against the electrode potential \( E \) for varying bulk concentrations of the adsorbing anions [2,3], using the so-called “Hurwitz–Parsons” analysis [4]. Silver is an especially tractable metal for this purpose since surprisingly reproducible values of \( C_d \) that are largely independent of the applied a.c. frequency can be obtained at smooth electropolished surfaces [2,3,5]. Nevertheless, this simple yet powerful method for extracting the surface compositional data needed for the quantitative interpretation of SERS has received scant attention by practitioners in this area.

We have recently obtained specific adsorption data for chloride, bromide, iodide, azide, and thiocyanate at a polycrystalline silver–aqueous interface from \( C_d–E \) data and also using a “kinetic probe” technique [3]. Although these anions are very strongly adsorbed, especially at more positive potentials, these surfaces exhibit no detectable Raman scattering. However, mild ORC roughening, corresponding to the redeposition of only a few silver layers, can yield surfaces displaying measurable Raman scattering for these and other inorganic adsorbates [6,7]. It is therefore of interest to explore the influence of electrochemical roughening on the double-layer structure of the silver–aqueous interface, in particular on the surface concentration of such structurally simple adsorbates. Fleischmann et al. have recently noted the effect of severe electrochemical roughening upon the capacitance of a silver electrode in chloride media, although no thermodynamic analysis of the data was undertaken [8].

We report here specific adsorption measurements for chloride, bromide, iodide, azide, and thiocyanate obtained from \( C_d–E \) data at electrochemically roughened silver electrodes. These are compared with corresponding data gathered at a smooth polycrystalline surface. The results indicate that the surface roughening procedure that is crucial to SERS has a relatively small influence on the average surface concentration of these anions, although noticeable changes occur in the morphology of the capacitance–potential curves. The data enable quantitative comparisons to be made between the appearance of SERS and the average surface concentration of the Raman scatterers.
2. Experimental

Experimental details were mostly as described in ref. [3], including the preparation of smooth silver surfaces using a cyanide electropolishing technique. The silver electrode was fabricated as a silver rod sheathed in Teflon. It was electrochemically roughened using the following procedure. After mechanical polishing using 1.0 and then 0.3 μm alumina on a polishing wheel, the electrode was immersed in 0.1M KCl at -140 mV versus a saturated calomel electrode (SCE). The electrode was then subjected to an ORC of the same type employed in SERS studies [7] by stepping the potential to 210 mV and held there until a known quantity of anodic charge $q_{ORC}$ had been passed, typically 20–40 mC cm$^{-2}$. The potential was then returned to and held at -140 mV until an essentially equal amount of cathodic charge had been passed. The electrode was then soaked in 2M HClO$_4$ for 15 min in order to remove residual adsorbed chloride.

The capacitance was measured using a phase sensitive detection method [3]. Simultaneous recordings of in-phase and quadrature components were made using a lock-in amplifier with an internal oscillator usually set at a frequency of 100 Hz and 4 mV peak-to-peak AC amplitude. Both in-phase and quadrature components were taken into account in calculating $C_d$ [3]. Values of $C_d$ as a function of electrode potential were obtained by sweeping the potential, usually at 2–5 mV s$^{-1}$, using a PAR 175 potential programmer together with a PAR 173 potentiostat. Under these conditions, essentially identical $C_d$–E curves were obtained irrespective of the direction of the potential scan.

All measurements were made at room temperature, 23 ± 0.5°C. Electrode potentials were measured with respect to a saturated calomel electrode filled with NaCl rather than KCl in order to avoid precipitation of KClO$_4$ in the liquid junction, but all are reported here with respect to the conventional SCE.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Determination of roughness factors for silver surfaces

Fig. 1 shows three representative $C_d$–E curves obtained for polycrystalline silver in contact with 0.5M NaClO$_4$. This medium was chosen as the “inert” background electrolyte for the present studies in view of the relatively weak adsorption of perchlorate. Although we previously used 0.5M NaF for this purpose [3], fluoride anions appear to be more strongly adsorbed than perchlorate on silver [9], so that 0.5M NaClO$_4$ is preferred here. The lowest curve in fig. 1 was obtained after electropolishing the electrode in a cyanide medium [3], whereas the upper two curves were measured following oxidation–reduction cycles in 0.1M KCl as described above. Both these ORCs involved passing
greater amounts of anodic charge (ca. 50 and 120 mC cm$^{-2}$ for the middle and upper curves, respectively), than are normally required in order to induce SERS for adsorbed anions such as chloride. Nevertheless, no marked changes in the shape of the $C_a$–$E$ curves are seen, the capacitance increasing monotonically with increasing roughness brought about by passing larger quantities of anodic charge, $q_{ORC}$, during the ORC. This suggests that approximate estimates of the roughness factor RF (i.e., the ratio of the actual to geometric surface area) can be obtained simply from the ratio of measured capacitances at a given electrode potential with respect to that for a smooth surface.

This method for determining RF was checked against an alternative approach which involved measuring the faradaic charge consumed for the deposition or redissolution of a monolayer of underpotential deposited (UPD) lead [10–12]. The procedure entailed measuring the charge under the cathodic–anodic cyclic voltammetric peaks obtained in the potential region –300 to –400 mV for a solution containing 5 mM Pb$^{2+}$. Essentially symmetrical cyclic voltammograms were obtained using slow sweep rates (ca. 5 mV s$^{-1}$) which also minimized the contribution of double-layer charging. The roughness factor was calculated by assuming that the charge required for deposition (or redissolution) of a monolayer of lead atoms on a perfectly smooth silver surface equals 310 μC cm$^{-2}$ [10]. Three voltammetric peaks
could be resolved, at about $-300$, $-320$, and $-350$ mV. Roughening the electrode produced little change in the appearance of the cyclic voltammograms besides enhancing the current. This together with the similar form of the $C_{\text{d}}-E$ curves seen in fig. 1, indicates that no major changes are occurring in the average microscopic properties of the silver surface beyond an increase in the overall surface area.

Generally, the values of RF obtained from the double-layer capacitances agree quite well (within ca. 10–20%) with those found using the lead UPD method (fig. 2). Electrodes roughened sufficiently to yield intense SERS signals, say $q_{\text{ORC}} > 10$ mC cm$^{-2}$, exhibited only moderate roughness factors; thus typically RF = 1.5–2 for $q_{\text{ORC}} = 20$ mC cm$^{-2}$. For electropolished silver it was found that RF = 1.2 from the lead UPD method. The values of RF for the roughened electrodes were somewhat irreproducible, depending upon the exact manner of the washing and soaking steps following the ORC. An examination of these factors was made by recording $C_{\text{d}}-E$ curves in the 0.1M KCl electrolyte used for the ORC. A $C_{\text{d}}-E$ curve obtained immediately after an ORC by scanning the potential from $-100$ mV to and from various more negative potentials out to $-1200$ mV exhibited negligible hysteresis even after several potential scans over a period of 15–20 min. Interestingly, this reversibility is in complete contrast to the behavior of the SERS 240 cm$^{-1}$ mode (silver–chloride stretching) for adsorbed chloride which is almost entirely and irreversibly quenched upon scanning more negative than ca. $-500$ mV under these conditions [13]. Washing and soaking the roughened electrode in perchloric acid yielded significant decreases in the capacitance in 0.1M KCl, corresponding to RF decreases of up to ca. 30%, depending on the vigor of the washing procedure and the soaking time (up to ca. 30 min). Nevertheless, the individual $C_{\text{d}}-E$ curves obtained after returning the electrode to the cell consistently exhibited little or no hysteresis.

Although most capacitance data were obtained using an AC frequency of 100 Hz, the frequency dependence of $C_{\text{d}}$ was also examined. Over the frequency range 20–1500 Hz in 0.5M NaClO$_4$, the apparent values of $C_{\text{d}}$ generally increased with decreasing frequency, although only of the order of ca. 10% for every 10-fold frequency change. The extent of this frequency dispersion was similar on roughened and electropolished surfaces, and largely independent of the electrode potential. This behavior is somewhat more ideal than found for electropolished silver in 0.5M NaF, where noticeably greater frequency dispersions were found at potentials positive of ca. $-700$ mV [3].

3.2. Determination of anion specific adsorption

These results indicate that the electrochemically roughened silver surfaces exhibit sufficiently well-behaved and reproducible behavior to allow quantitative estimates of specific anion adsorption to be obtained from $C_{\text{d}}-E$ data
using the Hurwitz–Parsons approach. Following our earlier measurements [3],
$C_d$–$E$ curves were recorded in a series of mixed electrolytes having the general
composition $(0.5 - x)M$ NaClO$_4 + xM$ NaX, where X is the adsorbing anion,
either Cl$^-$, Br$^-$, I$^-$, NCS$^-$, or N$_2$$^-$I$. The general procedure was to record a
$C_d$–$E$ curve for a previously roughened or electropolished silver electrode in
0.5M NaClO$_4$, and then obtain $C_d$–$E$ curves following successive additions of
NaX using the same electrode.

The surface concentrations of specifically adsorbed anions were calculated
from each family of $C_d$–$E$ curves using two variants of the Hurwitz–Parsons
analysis, as follows. The Gibbs adsorption equation for the mixed electrolytes
employed here can be written as [4b]

$$-d\gamma = q^m dE + \left( \Gamma_X - \left[ x/(0.5 - x) \right] \Gamma_{\text{ClO}_4} \right) RT \, d\ln x,$$

where $\gamma$ is the surface tension, $q^m$ is the excess electronic charge density on the
metal surface, $E$ is the electrode potential, and $\Gamma_X$ and $\Gamma_{\text{ClO}_4}$ are the surface
excesses of the added anion X and the perchlorate anion. Provided that the
components of $\Gamma_X$ and $\Gamma_{\text{ClO}_4}$ in the diffuse layer, $\Gamma_X^d$ and $\Gamma_{\text{ClO}_4}^d$, respectively, are
present in the same ratio as the anion mole fractions, i.e., $\Gamma_X^d/\Gamma_{\text{ClO}_4}^d = x/(0.5 - x)$, as expected [4b], then eq. (1) can be rewritten as

$$-d\gamma = q^m dE + \left( \Gamma_X - \left[ x/(0.5 - x) \right] \Gamma_{\text{ClO}_4} \right) RT \, d\ln x,$$

where $\Gamma_X$ and $\Gamma_{\text{ClO}_4}$ are the components of $\Gamma_X$ and $\Gamma_{\text{ClO}_4}$ present in the inner
layer, i.e. the surface concentrations of specifically adsorbed anions. Providing
$\Gamma_{\text{ClO}_4}$ is small (vide supra), we can write

$$-d\gamma = q^m dE - \Gamma_X^d RT \, d\ln x.$$  

Eq. (3) suggests that the desired values of $\Gamma_X$ can be obtained simply from

$$\Gamma_X = - (1/RT)(\partial \gamma / \partial \ln x)_E.$$  

The required coefficients $(\partial \gamma / \partial \ln x)_E$ were obtained from the $C_d$–$E$ curves by
noting that the observed coincidence of these curves at negative potentials will
also be associated with values of $q^m$ and $\gamma$ that are both independent of $x$.
Therefore the $C_d$–$E$ curves can be double integrated to yield a corresponding
set of $\Delta\gamma$–$E$ curves, where $\Delta\gamma$ is the surface tension with respect to the
(unknown) value at some suitably negative potential. (Since the $C_d$–$E$ curve
were often not quite coincident at the most negative potentials (ca. $-1300$ to
$-1400$ mV) at which $C_d$ could be accurately evaluated due to the onset of
hydrogen evolution, a short extrapolation of these curves to more negative
potentials was required.) The required values of $(\partial \gamma / \partial \ln x)_E$ were obtained
for various values of $x$ and $E$ from the family of $\Delta\gamma$–$E$ curves, yielding plots of
$\Gamma_X$ against $E$ for various values of $x$. We shall denote this approach as Method
I. It has been recently employed, for example, to determine chloride specific
adsorption at single-crystal silver surfaces [2].
We have previously used a related approach, denoted here as Method II [3, 14, 15], based on the following "cross-differential" relation which can be obtained from eq. (1) [14]:

$$\frac{\partial q^m}{\partial \Gamma_X} = -RT \left( \frac{\partial \ln x}{\partial E} \right)_{\Gamma_X}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Provided that the coefficient \( \frac{\partial q^m}{\partial \Gamma_X} \) is essentially independent of coverage, \( \Gamma_X \) can be found from

$$\Gamma_X = -\frac{(\Delta q^m)}{RT(\partial \ln x/\partial E)}_{\Gamma_X},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \( (\Delta q^m) \) is the change in electrode charge density at a given electrode potential brought about by the addition of the adsorbing anion X. This quantity can easily be found by back-integrating the \( C_d-E \) curves as before, but only once to yield a family of relative \( q^m-E \) curves. The required coefficient \( (\partial \ln x/\partial E)_{\Gamma_X} \) can be evaluated from the relative shape of these \( q^m-E \) curves as described in ref. [14]. [Note that Methods I and II require only information on the changes in \( q^m \) (or \( \Delta \gamma \)) brought about by altering the anion concentration, \( x \), so that a knowledge of the potential of zero charge or absolute values of \( q^m \) is not required.]

Although Method II provides a particularly direct route to \( \Gamma_X \), it is desirable that the coefficients in eq. (3) be essentially independent of anion surface concentration and electrode potential. For the present systems, \(-\left( \frac{\partial \ln x}{\partial E} \right)_{\Gamma_X} \) (or the closely related dimensionless quantity \(-\left( \frac{RT}{F} \right)^2(\partial \ln x/\partial E)_{\Gamma_X} \), the "electrosorption valency" EV) was found to depend not only on coverage but to decrease noticeably as the electrode potential becomes less negative, eventually even changing sign at the most positive potentials. Therefore Method I was chiefly employed in the present study, although Method II gave essentially the same results. The latter was found to be especially useful for extracting values of \( \Gamma_X \) at extreme low and high anion concentrations.

Fig. 3a shows a typical set of \( C_d-E \) curves for electropolished silver (RF = 1.2) in a series of mixed NaClO₄-NaCl electrolytes with \( x = 0 \) to 0.2M, and fig. 3b gives a corresponding set gathered for a roughened silver electrode (\( q_{ORC} = 40 \) mC cm⁻², RF = 1.9). Comparisons of figs. 3a and 3b show that the general features of the \( C_d-E \) curves are similar on the smooth and roughened electrodes (vide supra). The latter curves have values of \( C_d \) that are about 50% higher, in accordance with the measured roughness factor. (Here and elsewhere \( C_d \) is reported in terms of the geometrical (apparent) surface area.) At potentials more negative than ca. -1300 mV, the capacitance is almost independent of the bulk chloride concentration, indicating that here chloride specific adsorption is negligible. As the potential becomes less negative, progressive increases in \( C_d \) are seen for the chloride-containing solutions which become larger with increasing bulk concentration as a consequence of greater chloride specific adsorption.
Fig. 3. (a) Differential capacitance versus electrode potential for electropolished polycrystalline silver (RF = 1.2) in NaClO₄-NaCl mixtures at ionic strength 0.5 M. Key to chloride concentrations: (3) 0; (2) 0.025 M; (1) 0.05 M; (0) 0.1 M; (b) 0.2 M. (c) 1 M; (d) 2 M; (e) 5 M; (f) 10 M; (g) 20 M. (h) As in (a), but for electrochemically roughened silver (RF = 1.9).
The resulting values of $\Gamma_{CI}^*$ as a function of potential for two chloride concentrations, 0.015M and 0.1M, are shown in fig. 4 for both electropolished (solid curves) and roughened surfaces (dashed curves). (The surface concentrations here and below are reported in terms of the actual surface area, i.e. by taking into account the measured roughness factors RF = 1.2 and 1.9 for the electropolished and roughened surfaces, respectively.) It is seen that the corresponding $\Gamma_{CI}^*$ values are somewhat smaller on the roughened compared to the electropolished surface, and only increase marginally with increasing bulk chloride concentration. Although the decrease in $\Gamma_{CI}^*$ upon surface roughening is relatively mild (up to ca. 30%) it is considered to be greater than the experimental uncertainty in $\Gamma_{CI}^*$, which under favorable conditions is estimated to be no larger than $\pm$ 10–15%.

Figs. 5a–5c show representative $C_d$–$E$ curves gathered for bromide electrolytes, (0.5M NaClO$_4$ + xM NaBr with x = 0 to 0.1M), using electropolished (fig. 5a), and roughened silver having RF values of 1.9 (fig. 5b) and 4.2 (fig. 5c).
Fig. 5. (a) Differential capacitance versus electrode potential for electropolished polycrystalline silver in NaClO₄-NaBr mixtures of ionic strength 0.5. Key to bromide concentration (solid curves): (1) 0; (2) 0.6mM; (3) 2mM; (4) 5mM; (5) 15mM; (6) 40mM; (7) 100mM. The dashed curve is the cell resistance for 100mM bromide plotted on the same potential scale. (b) As (a), but for roughened silver (RF = 1.9). (c) As (a), but for roughened silver (RF = 4.2).

5c). Again, the shapes of the $C_d$–$E$ curves on the roughened surfaces largely resemble those at the smooth electrode, the values of $C_d$ being greater on the former to an extent that is approximately in accordance with the measured roughness factor. Fig. 6a shows the resulting plots of $I'_b$ against electrode potential for 0.015M bromide at these three surfaces, and fig. 6b shows the corresponding plot for 0.1M bromide. As for chloride, the values of $I'_b$ are marginally smaller on the roughened compared to the electropolished surface, and to an extent which increases with increasing roughness.

Figs. 5a–5c also represent plots of the resistive component $R$ of the measured impedance against potential for 0.1M bromide, shown as dashed curves. Although $R$ is approximately constant beyond −700 mV, it exhibits sharp variations at potentials positive of the major $C_d$ peak where high coverages of bromide are obtained. Similar behavior was observed for the other adsorbates studied here (cf. ref. [3]). Such variations are inconsistent with the usual representation of the interface as a pure "$RC$" circuit. The reason for this behavior is unclear, but may be associated with a sluggish restructuring of the adsorbate layer at high coverages. In any event, the derived $I''_d$ values are clearly less trustworthy under these conditions.
Fig. 6. (a) Surface concentration of specifically adsorbed halides (per cm² area) at electropolished (solid curve) and roughened silver (dashed-dotted-dashed curve) for bulk concentration at 15 mol/l obtained from figs. 15a and 16a.) (b) (a), but for x = 10 mol/l.
Nevertheless, the shape of the \( C_\theta - E \) curves in the high coverage region can still yield useful information. The measured capacitance can be considered to consist of two components, one reflecting the dielectric properties of the particular interfacial composition ("constant coverage" capacitance) and an additional part arising from the variation in the adsorbate concentration with electrode potential \[16\]. This latter component will be greatest when the fractional surface coverage \( \theta \) is about 0.5 because \( (\partial \Gamma_\infty / \partial E) \), and hence \( (\partial q^n / \partial E) \), is anticipated to be a maximum at this point, and will vanish in the limits of low and saturation coverage since \( (\partial \Gamma_\infty / \partial E) \to 0 \). Therefore the major capacitance peak will occur at a surface coverage around 0.5, and the onset of concentration-independent and relatively potential-independent capacitances at more negative and positive potentials signal anion coverages that approach zero and unity, respectively. For chloride, the \( C_\theta - E \) curves converge only at positive potentials close to the onset of surface oxidation, ca. 0 mV. Bromide adsorption is sufficiently stronger so that the major capacitance peak occurs at more negative potentials and the \( C_\theta - E \) curves converge to a plateau at potentials positive of ca. −300 mV, indicating that a monolayer of adsorbed bromide is formed in this region. The surface concentration corresponding to a close-packed monolayer is estimated to be \( 1.6 \times 10^{-9} \) and \( 1.35 \times 10^{-9} \) mole cm\(^{-2}\) for chloride and bromide, respectively \[3\].

Figs. 7a and 7b show \( C_\theta - E \) curves for iodide-containing electrolytes obtained on electropolished and roughened electrodes, respectively, and figs. 8a and 8b show the same for thiocyanate. Both these anions are sufficiently strongly adsorbed to yield large increases in \( C_\theta \) upon their addition to 0.5M HClO\(_4\) even at the most negative potential (ca. −1300 mV) at which measurements could be made without interference from hydrogen evolution. This precludes a quantitative adsorption analysis since sizeable extrapolations to more negative potentials are required to a value where anion adsorption is negligible from which the \( C_\theta - E \) curves can be integrated. Nevertheless, once again the shapes of the \( C_\theta - E \) curves on the electropolished and roughened electrodes are similar, the latter merely exhibiting larger \( C_\theta \) values to an extent consistent with the roughness factor determined in 0.5M HClO\(_4\). Both iodide and thiocyanate are tenaciously adsorbed, apparently forming monolayers positive of ca. −900 mV for bulk anion concentrations of a few millimolar and above on the basis of the plateaus observed in the \( C_\theta - E \) curves under these conditions (figs. 7 and 8). However, the \( C_\theta - E \) curves for thiocyanate exhibit a pronounced structure in the more positive potential region, most notably yielding a large capacitance peak at ca. −250 mV which grows sharply as the bulk thiocyanate concentration is increased above 1 mM. The magnitude of this peak is noticeably dependent on the AC frequency, being markedly smaller at 1000 Hz than at 100 Hz; the latter was used to obtain the data in fig. 8. (No faradaic current was detected at these potentials.) In contrast, the \( C_\theta - E \) curves for iodide are featureless and essentially independent of the bulk iodide concentration in this region.
Fig. 7. (a) Differential capacitance versus electrode potential for electropolished silver in NaClO₄–NaI mixtures of ionic strength 0.5. Key to iodide concentrations: (1) 0; (2) 0.2 mM; (3) 0.5 mM; (4) 1.2 mM; (5) 2.2 mM; (6) 5 mM; (7) 10 mM. (b) As (a), but for roughened silver (RF = 4.6).
Fig. 8. (a) Differential capacitance versus electrode potential for electropolished silver in NaClO₄–NaNCS mixtures of ionic strength 0.5. Key to thiocyanate concentrations: (1) 0; (2) 0.3mM; (3) 1mM; (4) 3mM; (5) 10mM; (6) 30mM; (7) 100mM. (b) As (a), but for roughened silver (RF = 2.1).
It seems feasible that these additional features observed for thiocyanate are
due to potential-dependent rearrangements of the adsorbate layer associated
with alterations in the surface bonding geometry. Although thiocyanate very
likely binds to metal surfaces preferentially via the sulfur atom [7], the nitrogen
atom should also have some affinity for the silver surface which could result in
a relatively flat or bent orientation. At more positive potentials, the enhanced
electrostatic field at the silver surface resulting from larger positive electronic
charge densities [2] and greater packing densities may increasingly favor a
more perpendicular orientation with the NCS\(^{-}\) ion bound only via the sulfur
where most of the anionic charge is located.

The corresponding \(C_\text{e}^{-}E\) curves for azide at electropolished (fig. 9a) and
roughened silver (fig. 9b) form an interesting comparison with the behavior of
thiocyanate in view of the structural similarities of the two anions. Azide is
sufficiently weakly adsorbed at the most negative potentials to allow values of
\(\Gamma^*_x\) to be determined. The resulting plots of \(\Gamma^*_x\) versus \(E\) for azide concentra-
tions of 0.01M and 0.1M at electropolished and roughened silver are shown in
fig. 10. In contrast to chloride and bromide, azide yields significantly larger
values of \(\Gamma^*_x\) on the roughened compared to the electropolished surfaces.
Although the extent of azide adsorption is comparable to that of bromide at
relatively negative potentials, ca. \(-900\) to \(-1200\) mV, the increases in \(\Gamma^*_x\) as
the potential becomes less negative are less pronounced than those for bromide
(fig. 6). Therefore values of \(\Gamma^*_x\) close to that expected for a monolayer of azide
adsorbed “end on”, ca. \(1.6 \times 10^{-9}\) mole cm\(^{-2}\), are barely attained even at the
most positive potentials (ca. 0 mV), and at \(x = 0.1\)M (fig. 10). This feature can
also be deduced from the shape of the \(C_\text{e}^{-}E\) plots for azide (fig. 9); instead of
the single major capacitance peak and a decrease to much smaller values at
more positive potentials as seen for the halides, the azide curves exhibit two
relatively shallow peaks. One possible reason for this more complicated behav-
ior is that there is a change in orientation of the adsorbed azide with increasing
coverage. A relatively flat orientation of the linear \(\text{N}_3^{-}\) ion which may be
preferred at low coverages as a result of ligand–metal \(\pi\) overlap would need to
give way to a more compact “end on” orientation in order to achieve surface
concentrations above ca. \(7 \times 10^{-10}\) mole cm\(^{-2}\).

Support for this interpretation is also obtained from the related observation
that the “electrosorption valency” EV for azide depends markedly on the
adsorbate coverage and electrode potential, changing from about 0.3 at the
most negative potentials to about 0.15 in the potential region positive of the
major \(C_\text{e}\) peak at ca. \(-900\) mV. In contrast, adsorbed bromide exhibits values
of EV close to 0.4 that do not decrease noticeably with potential or cover-
until surface concentrations approaching saturation are reached. The former
observation is nicely consistent with a change in azide orientation from flat
vertical in that this transformation would place the anionic charge further from
the electrode. The vertical orientation would yield a smaller value of EV simi-
Fig. 9. (a) Differential capacitance versus electrode potential for electropolished silver in 
NaClO₄ - NaN₃ mixtures of ionic strength 0.5. Key to azide concentrations: (1) 0; (2) 1 mM; (3) 3 mM; (4) 10 mM; (5) 30 mM; (6) 100 mM. (b) As (a), but for roughened silver (RF = 2.3).
Fig. 10. Surface concentration of specifically adsorbed azide (per cm² real area) at electropolished (solid curves) and roughened silver (dashed curves) versus electrode potential for bulk azide concentrations of 0.01 M and 0.1 M, analyzed from figs. 9a and 9b as outlined in the text.

This quantity depends in part on the fraction of the double-layer potential drop traversed by the anionic charge upon adsorption [17, 18].

Since the surface concentration data in figs. 4, 6, and 10 were obtained by using eq. (3), significant errors in $\Gamma'_X$ may arise from perchlorate coadsorption [19]. Approximate estimates of their magnitude can be estimated by inspecting eq. (2) which takes this factor into account. In general eq. (3) will tend to underestimate $\Gamma'_X$ since the second term in brackets in eq. (2), which is neglected in eq. (3), will always be positive. The error is most likely to be significant for the least strongly adsorbing anion, chloride, for which the largest bulk anion concentrations, and hence $x/(0.5 - x)$, are required in order to induce a given value of $\Gamma'_X$. Although $\Gamma'_{ClO}_4$ is not known quantitatively, kinetic probe measurements indicate that in pure perchlorate media $FT'_{ClO}_4 \sim q^m$ over a range of positive electrode charges [3, 20, 21], yielding $\Gamma'_{ClO}_4 \sim 2.5$ to
3.5 × 10⁻¹⁰ mol cm⁻² in the potential region ca. −200 to −400 mV. For high chloride concentrations, say x = 0.1 so that x/(0.5 − x) = 0.25, the apparent values of \( \Gamma_X^* \) from eq. (3) are ca. 8 to 10 × 10⁻¹⁰ mol cm⁻² in this potential region, so that the magnitude of the correction term \( [x/(0.5 − x)] \Gamma_{ClO_4}^\ast \), ca. 1 × 10⁻¹⁰ mol cm⁻², is small yet significant. However, the actual error in \( \Gamma_X^* \) is almost undoubtedly smaller than this since strong chloride adsorption will inevitably diminish \( \Gamma_{ClO_4}^\ast \) substantially. The errors in \( \Gamma_X^* \) are likely to be negligible for smaller values of x and with the other adsorbing anions. Admittedly, the presence of adsorbed perchlorate may still influence the values of \( \Gamma_X^* \) from eq. (3) but the analysis errors themselves appear to be minor.

Standard free energies for adsorption, \( \Delta G^\circ \), were calculated for each anion at the effective potential of zero charge for polycrystalline silver, −930 mV, using the procedure outlined in ref. [3] with adsorbate and bulk standard states of 1 molecule cm⁻² and 1 mole liter⁻¹, respectively. Typical values of \( \Delta G^\circ \) are: −95, −101 and −95 kJ mol⁻¹ for chloride, bromide and azide at electropolished silver, and −92, −100 and −94 kJ mol⁻¹ at roughened silver (RF = 2). These values are somewhat more negative than those reported at polycrystalline silver in mixed 0.5M fluoride media [3]. This disparity is probably due in part to the diminution of anion adsorption in fluoride media caused by repulsive interactions with coadsorbed fluoride ions.

3.3. Surface crystallographic changes induced by electrochemical roughening

In addition to yielding quantitative information on the extent of specific ionic adsorption, the \( C_4 − E \) curves can also provide useful clues to the crystallographic nature of the metal surface. Thus the shapes of the \( C_4 − E \) curves obtained at single-crystal silver electrodes can be diagnostic of the particular crystallographic orientation exposed at the surface [2,5,8]. Moreover, a number of well-defined capacitance peaks are obtained in chloride and bromide electrolytes whose shape and potential are characteristic of the surface crystallographic orientation [2,8]. This suggests that comparison of \( C_4 − E \) curves obtained for polycrystalline and various single-crystal surfaces in a given electrolyte could provide information on the crystallographic components of the former surface, and shed light on the structural changes induced by electrochemical roughening.

The major features of the \( C_4 − E \) curves in, for example, 0.1M chloride at electropolished silver in fig. 3a are a shoulder at ca. −1000 mV (feature I) a major peak with a sharp summit at −550 mV (II), and a sharp peak at −100 mV (III). Upon roughening the electrode (fig. 3b), features I and II become more pronounced, and III is diminished. A \( C_4 − E \) curve with similar features to those in fig. 3b was also obtained by Fleischmann et al. for highly roughened silver (RF ~ 15) in 0.1M NaCl [8]. Comparison with the \( C_4 − E \) curves obtained for comparable chloride concentrations at silver surfaces having (111), (100),
and (110) orientations [2,8] reveal that feature I closely resembles that found for (110) crystallites, feature II with (100), and feature III with (111) crystallites. Consequently, it appears that electrochemical roughening in chloride media results in an increase in the proportion of facets resembling (100) and (110), and a decrease in those resembling (111) crystallites.

The \( C_i - E \) curves for bromide electrolytes are consistent with this interpretation. The two main features at electropolished polycrystalline silver in 0.1M bromide (fig. 5a) are a shoulder at ca. \(-1150\) mV (I) and a major broad peak at \(-800\) mV (II). Roughening the electrode (figs. 5b and 5c) results in a little change in II but yields a significant increase in I. Comparison with corresponding single-crystal data [2] suggests that feature II is probably formed from a composite of closely overlapping peaks from several low-index faces, whereas I is predominantly associated with the (110) and (100) faces. These and possibly other higher index planes therefore seem to be more prevalent on the roughened surfaces. This finding seems reasonable since metal oxidation and rapid redeposition would be expected to produce a relatively "loose" and somewhat disordered surface, with a smaller proportion of the most densely packed (111) planes.

Nevertheless it should be noted that the overall changes, both in the morphology of the \( C_i - E \) curves themselves and in the surface anion concentration induced by even quite extensive surface roughening are surprisingly mild, the major effect being simply to enhance the effective surface area. Thus although the morphology of the surface at the ca. 1 \( \mu \)m level that is probed by scanning electron microscopy is strikingly altered by electrochemical roughening [22] it appears that such large-scale surface reconstruction gives rise to only relatively minor alterations in the average crystallographic structure as well as in the average double-layer composition.

3.4. Implications for surface-enhanced Raman scattering

The enormous increases in the intensity of SERS brought about by electrochemical roughening of the type and magnitude employed here [23] stand in sharp contrast to the relatively moderate (ca. 1.5- to 4-fold) increases in the actual surface area and the minor changes in the surface concentration of adsorbed anions. This implies that the role of surface roughness in promoting SERS is to greatly enhance the inelastic scattering efficiency of the adsorbate scattering centers, in harmony with commonly held views [1,23]. Nevertheless, it is of considerable interest to ascertain the functional relationships, if any, between the intensity and nature (frequency, bandshape) of the Raman scattering and the average surface concentration of the Raman-active adsorbate. Such relationships are explored in detail for halide and pseudohalide adsorbates elsewhere [7,13]. We shall confine our remarks here to observations of a more qualitative nature.
The adsorption data presented here show that substantial specific adsorption of a number of anions occurs even at strongly negative potentials. Thus in the range of adsorbate concentrations 0.01M–0.1M, bromide, iodide, and thiocyanate are adsorbed with coverages above half a monolayer at potentials positive of about –800, –1150, and –1100 mV, judging by the position of the major peaks in the $C_d-E$ curves (figs. 5–8). Although stable SERS signals are observed for these anions at potentials close to the anodic limit, ca. 0 to –250 mV, the scattering intensity is found to diminish to small or even imperceptible levels upon shifting the potential to these more negative values [7,24–26]. Moreover, as noted above for chloride, scanning the potential to such negative values and returning yields negligible hysteresis in the $C_d-E$ curves and hence the $\Gamma_x-E$ plots, yet the SERS signals are almost entirely irreversibly quenched upon the return scan [7,25–26]. Further, comparisons between the present adsorption data and SERS spectra obtained under comparable conditions as a function of electrode potential for chloride, bromide, iodide [24], thiocyanate [7,25], and azide [26] indicate that in each case the Raman signals at a roughened silver electrode undergo rapid and irreversible decay as the potential is shifted negative only to the point where the anion coverage starts to fall below a monolayer. This point is signaled by an increase in $C_d$ towards the major $C_d-E$ peak. This result holds for Raman signals associated with both metal surface-ligand and internal vibrational modes. From figs. 3b, 5b, 5c, 7b, 8b and 9b, these potentials for $x \sim 0.01M$ are ca. –200, –500, –900, –900, and +100 mV for chloride, bromide, iodide, thiocyanate, and azide, respectively. For azide, a monolayer is only formed close to the onset of metal dissolution at ca. 150 mV; indeed stable SERS spectra for this anion are not seen at more negative potentials [26] even though extensive azide adsorption occurs as far negative as ca. –800 mV (fig. 10).

A likely explanation for this surprising behavior is that the most efficient Raman scattering occurs from specific surface sites associated with particular morphologies, probably small metal clusters which are metastable with respect to incorporation into the metal lattice [27]. At adsorbate coverages close to a monolayer, anions will bind to these sites giving rise to SERS and will also occupy the large majority of nearby metal lattice sites. Although not contributing to the observed Raman signal, the latter adsorbate can stabilize the Raman-active clusters by preventing their dissipation into the surrounding lattice. Altering the potential to sufficiently negative values so that a fraction of this adsorbate is desorbed will provide lattice positions into which the least stable clusters can rearrange, leading to an irreversible decrease in the Raman intensity. However, judging by the essentially reversible nature of the $C_d-E$ curves, this rearrangement process appears to involve only a small fraction of the metal surface.

These considerations provoke the desirability of examining the potential dependence of SERS on time scales that are sufficiently short that the
irreversible decay of the Raman signal does not occur. Data gathered under such conditions, largely using a spectrograph-optical multichannel analyzer arrangement for rapid time resolution, are starting to appear [7,13,28]. Comparison of corresponding specific adsorption and SERS data gathered under these conditions shows that an approximate proportionality between the Raman intensity and $I$ does indeed exist for chloride and bromide [13]. However, thiocyanate exhibits somewhat different behavior inasmuch as marked (ca. 3-fold) decreases in Raman intensity for the $C\equiv N$ stretching mode ($\nu_{CN}$) occur under reversible conditions when the potential is made more negative in the region $-150$ to $-750$ mV [29] even though the thiocyanate coverage is maintained near a monolayer throughout [7]. This behavior may be associated with the potential dependence of thiocyanate orientation that was suggested above [7]. Thus a change in adsorbate surface bonding to a less perpendicular orientation as the potential is made more negative would be expected to yield a decrease in the Raman scattering efficiency of the $\nu_{CN}$ mode since the component of the polarization vector normal to the surface would thereby be diminished [30].

Finally, it should be noted that considerably (ca. 10-fold) more intense Raman scattering can be observed for several adsorbed anions such as chloride, bromide, and thiocyanate if the electrode surface is illuminated by the laser beam during the ORC [31,32]. Nevertheless, preliminary measurements indicate that such laser illumination has only a small influence upon the $C_0 - E$ curves recorded in the presence of such anions, indicating that only minor changes in both the effective surface area and the anion surface concentrations occur under these conditions [33].

Although preliminary, the above observations point to the desirability of correlating the nature and intensity of SERS signals with the interfacial composition, both from the standpoint of understanding the nature of the SERS effect itself and its utilization as a microscopic probe of electrochemical surface structure. It will clearly be important to study structurally simple adsorbates having known surface concentrations and well-defined bonding geometries in order to unravel the importance of such basic factors as surface concentration, surface bonding and stereochemistry to SERS.
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