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High surface area mesoporous aerogel films were prepared on conductive glass substrates. Atomic layer deposition was employed to coat the aerogel template conformally with various thicknesses of TiO₂ with subnanometer precision. The TiO₂-coated aerogel membranes were incorporated as photoanodes in dye-sensitized solar cells. The charge diffusion length was found to increase with increasing thickness of TiO₂ leading to increasing current and efficiency. Initial devices exhibited power conversion efficiencies of up to 4.3% under 100 W m⁻² light intensity. The novel fabrication technique provides a facile, oxide materials general method to prepare high surface area pseudo-one-dimensional DSSC photoanodes with promising performance.

Introduction

Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) based on nanocrystalline TiO₂ have exhibited solar energy-conversion efficiencies up to 11% and are among one of the most promising candidates for cost-effective solar energy conversion devices.¹,² The excellent performance of the most efficient DSSCs reported to date is a consequence of good light harvesting combined with near-quantitative charge collection (at short circuit).¹,³ The good light harvesting results from a combination of a moderate extinction dye with a high surface area photoanode. Because high charge-collection efficiency requires electron transport to be significantly faster than recombination, the slow (millisecond) electron transport through a nanoparticle photoanode demands even slower recombination.⁴ The excellent charge collection of the best devices to date has therefore relied on I⁻/I₃⁻ as the redox shuttle, since recombination to I₃⁻ via the complicated, and a presumably multielectron, process is exceedingly slow.⁴

The I₃⁻/I⁻ couple has several disadvantages, however, including limitations on the open-circuit voltage related to its redox potential. To advance device performance substantially beyond its current limits, the use of a new and energetically more favorable redox shuttle is likely necessary. Since all known alternative redox shuttles exhibit faster recombination kinetics, maintaining good charge collection efficiency will require concomitantly faster charge transport through the metal oxide framework. A straightforward way to accelerate charge transport is to reduce the dimensionality of charge diffusion.

Several interesting photoanode architectures based on ZnO have been fabricated with reduced dimensionality, notably ZnO nanorod arrays and ZnO nanotubes.⁵,⁶ ZnO nanorod arrays have been shown to exhibit much faster charge transport than comparable ZnO nanoparticle networks as expected.⁷,⁸ While ZnO nanorod and nanotube devices have shown promising efficiencies of 1.5% and 1.6%, respectively, further improvement requires overcoming the technical challenge of increasing the relatively low surface area that currently limits light-harvesting. In addition, ZnO devices generally exhibit lower efficiencies than comparable TiO₂ devices due, at least in part, to corrosion and/or nonideal dye loading.⁹ Arrays of TiO₂ nanotubes have recently been employed as a reduced dimension photoanode architecture in DSSCs, exhibiting power conversion efficiencies up to 7%.¹⁰ Surprisingly, the nanotube films displayed similar transport times to comparable nanoparticle films; however, recombination was found to be slower in the nanotube films.¹⁰

Here we introduce a new core–shell pseudo-one-dimensional TiO₂ photoanode design featuring high aspect ratio structures incorporated in DSSCs. Inert low-density, high-surface-area silica aerogel films are prepared as substructure templates.¹¹,¹² The aerogel templates are coated with TiO₂ via atomic layer deposition (ALD) to yield an electrically interconnected semiconductor core–shell nanoweb structure.¹³–¹⁵ Because it is both a stepwise and conformal coating technique, ALD provides exceptional control over nanoscale device composition. The large number of materials accessible by ALD (including, but not limited to, TiO₂, ZnO, SnO₂, ZrO₂, and NiO) makes the technique widely applicable for the development of new photoelectrodes.¹⁶ In addition, new mixed metal oxides have recently attracted attention as materials for DSSC photoelectrodes which should be readily implemented utilizing the templating strategy in conjunction with ALD.¹⁷ Herein we demonstrate the viability of TiO₂ versions of these structures as dye-sensitized electrodes by characterizing their morphology and photovoltaic performance. In a related report we have described the behavior of DSSC photoelectrodes comprising zinc oxide on silica aerogels.¹⁸

Experimental Section

All chemicals, unless noted otherwise, were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Aerogel films were prepared as follows. A silica solution was prepared by diluting a prehydrolyzed ethyl polysilicate solution (Silbond Corp.) to 50% by volume with ethanol. One volume equivalent of a catalyst solution (50 mL of H₂O, 40 mL of ethanol, 1.6 mL of 30% NH₄OH) was slowly added while
stirring to the silica solution to form the sol. Prior to the gel point, typically 10 min after addition of the catalyst, the sol was dropcast onto fluorine-doped tin oxide, FTO, substrates inside a controlled atmosphere chamber saturated with vapor from 1:1 v/v ethanol:water solution. The gel point was approximately 20 min. Following gelation, substrates were immersed in catalyst solution and allowed to react an additional 12 h. The resulting alcogel films were exchanged with ethanol three times to remove residual water and dried with supercritical CO2. The aerogel active areas were approximately 0.25 cm², defined by scraping with a razor blade.

Atomic layer deposition was performed with a Savannah 100 instrument (Cambridge Nanotech Inc.), using titanium isopro- poxide and water as precursors. TiO2 was grown at 200 °C, using reactant exposure times of 14 and 7 s for titanium isopro- poxide and H2O, respectively, and nitrogen purge times of 30 s between exposures. The TiO2 coated films were annealed at 500 °C in air for 20 min to increase crystallinity.

After heating, the substrates were cooled to 100 °C and immediately immersed in 0.5 mM (Bu4N)2[Ru(4,4′-(COOH)-2,2′-bipyridine)2(NCS)2] (“N719”, Dyesol, B2 dye) in ethanol. After ~20 h, they were rinsed with ethanol and dried with N2.

A Surlyn frame was sandwiched between the open-pore side of the membrane and a platinized FTO electrode. Light pressure was applied at 130 °C to seal the cell. A solution of 0.60 M butylmethylimidazolium iodide, 0.03 M I2, 0.10 M guanidinium thiocyanate, and 0.50 M 4-tert-butylpyridine in acetonitrile: valeronitrile (85:15) was introduced into the TiO2 cells. Additional Surlyn and a microscope coverslip sealed the electrolyte into the cell. The active area was masked with tape and the electrode area measured with calipers.

AM 1.5 efficiencies were measured on a Class A solar cell analyzer from Spectra-Nova Technologies with a power of ~99 mW/cm². Absorbances were measured with a Varian Cary 5000 UV–vis spectrometer. Film thicknesses were measured on a Tencor P10 profilometer. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were collected on a Hitachi S-4800-II cFEG SEM. Micro-Raman spectrometry was performed by using a Renishaw RM2000 Raman Microprobe. This system is equipped with a He–Ne laser with a wavelength of 632 nm and was used to obtain Raman spectra of the samples in the range 100–700 cm⁻¹. The diameter of the focused laser beam was approximately 2 μm.

For surface area measurements via gas adsorption, photo- electrodes not yet exposed to dye were loaded into a sample tube and evacuated at 200 °C under 10⁻⁵ Torr of dynamic vacuum for 24 h on an Autosorb 1-MP volumetric sorption apparatus prior to gas adsorption measurements. Nitrogen and Krypton isotherms were collected at 77 K.

**Results and Discussion**

The porosity of aerogels is known to be controlled by the concentration of the sol and can exceed 99.5%,11,12,19 The aerogel films in this study were prepared by dissolving highly porous structures (>90%) leaving volume for growth of sufficiently thick TiO2 layers without completely filling the aerogel pores. The amount of sol dropcast onto the FTO substrate in general determines the thickness of the resulting aerogel film. All films examined here were prepared in a single dropcast. Aerogel films are approximately 25 μm thick, as determined from profilometry and SEM.

The aerogel films are extremely fragile as prepared; however, after coating with even a thin layer (~2 nm) of semiconductor they were found to be much more robust. A series of ~25 μm thick aerogel films were coated with TiO2 via 70, 140, 210, 280, or 350 ALD cycles. The thickness of deposited TiO2 was determined by ellipsometry measurements of flat silicon substrates that were coated concurrently. The measured thickness of TiO2 deposited as a function of ALD cycles is linear, with a slope of 0.34 Å/cycle, in good agreement with literature reports.20–22 The aerogel films were then coated with approximately 2.4, 4.8, 7.2, 9.6, and 12 nm of TiO2. The aerogel films are initially transparent then become opaque-white due to scattering by thicker layers (>5 nm) of high-refractive index TiO2. Raman spectra were taken of an aerogel film coated with 9.6 nm of TiO2 as prepared and after annealing at 500 °C for 30 min (see the Supporting Information). The TiO2 appears to be amorphous as deposited, but the Raman peaks at 396, 519, and 638 cm⁻¹ indicate transformation to anatase upon annealing.23

Figure 1 shows cross-sectional SEM images of a ~30 μm thick aerogel film coated with 9.6 nm TiO2.
BET surface area of the ∼10 mg sample was 310 m² g⁻¹, within the range previously reported for bulk aerogels.²⁴,²⁵ The desorption curve shows a distinct hysteresis and is indicative of mesoporosity. The Dollimore and Heal (DH) method was used to calculate the pore size distribution, Figure 2b. The distribution is bimodal, with a most prevalent pore size diameter of ∼20 nm. Also clearly present in the distribution are pores of ca. 2–7 nm diameter. The smallest of the pores conceivably could be problematic for dye loading, so may not be ideal for a photoanode.

Krypton adsorption measurements offer roughly 20-fold better sensitivity than N₂ measurements, thereby allowing individual thin films to be characterized directly (unfortunately, Kr adsorption measurements do not allow pore size distributions to be determined). Shown in Figure 2c is a Kr adsorption isotherm of a single 25 μm thick aerogel film coated with 9.6 nm TiO₂. The 0.25 cm² film had a surface area of ∼400 cm² (see the Supporting Information for the BET plot) indicating a roughness factor of ∼1,600 (64 cm²/μm). Roughness factors equaling or exceeding those of typical nanoparticle electrodes (∼1,200) are thus clearly obtainable.

Dye molecules were desorbed via immersion in 10 mM NaOH(aq) solution from a series of nominally identical aerogel electrodes coated with 2.4, 4.8, 7.2, 9.6, or 12 nm of TiO₂. The absorbance of desorbed dye for all samples, correcting for differences in electrode area, was essentially constant across the series. The small differences in dye loading were not systematic and are thus attributed to random variations in the aerogel morphology. Approximating the TiO₂-coated aerogel structure as a series of interconnected spheres and cylinders, the surface area should increase linearly with TiO₂ deposited, but decrease due to filling in pores and necks. Apparently, these two mechanisms effectively offset each. Photoelectrode roughness can also be estimated by dye desorption, which results in roughness factors of ∼1,000.²⁶ Estimating roughness by dye desorption excludes any part of the aerogel surface area inaccessible to dye, for example, topological features that are simply smaller than the dye. Such features may account for the difference in surface areas obtained for dye versus gas molecule adsorption.

Figure 3 shows the short circuit—current density, Jsc, and power conversion efficiency, η, as a function of thickness of TiO₂ deposited on aerogel films.

TABLE 1: Measured Photovoltaic Parameters for a Series of 25 μm Thick Aerogel Films Coated with TiO₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALD cycles</th>
<th>TiO₂ (nm)</th>
<th>Jsc (mA cm⁻²)</th>
<th>V₉ (V)</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Plots of the (a) N₂ adsorption (red) and desorption (orange) isotherm of a bulk aerogel sample coated with 9.6 nm TiO₂; (b) pore size distribution of the bulk sample; and (c) Kr adsorption isotherm of an aerogel film coated with 9.6 nm TiO₂.

Figure 3. Plots of the short circuit current density (red), Jsc, and efficiency (orange), η, as a function of thickness of TiO₂ deposited on aerogel films.
report on ZnO-coated aerogel templates, which exhibited mass transport limited current densities when >8 nm layers of ZnO were deposited. Attempts to deposit additional TiO2, however, have thus far resulted in buckling or delamination of the aerogel films from the FTO substrate. A similar trend of increasing current with TiO2 thickness deposited by ALD was reported for TiO2 coatings on ZnO nanorods and was attributed to increasing crystallinity of the deposited TiO2 resulting in better injection or charge transport.\textsuperscript{27}

Increasing rates of charge transport with increasing ALD coating thickness should translate into longer diffusion lengths, assuming constant or decreasing recombination rates. Relative diffusion lengths can be estimated by comparing $J_{sc}$ values from frontside (photoanode) vs backside (semitransparent cathode) illumination. Approximately 50% as much current is collected for the sample with 2.4 nm of TiO2 when illuminated through the cathode compared to the photoanode. The ratio of photocurrents from cathode vs photoanode illumination increases with the thickness of TiO2 deposited, reaching \textasciitilde90\% for samples with \textasciitilde10 nm of TiO2. Figure 4. The measurements of illumination through the cathodes were not corrected for light attenuation due to the Pt-coated cathode, bulk electrolyte solution, and partial coverage of the photoelectrode by the hole used to introduce electrolyte, which together can account for \textasciitilde10–20\% decreased $J_{sc}$. The observed increasing ratio of photocurrents indicates that the diffusion length is increasing with semiconductor thickness, and that the diffusion length is greater than the electrode thickness once a threshold amount of \textasciitilde10 nm TiO2 is deposited.

Since dye loading is essentially constant for the different thicknesses of TiO2 deposited, relative diffusion lengths, $L_n$, can also be estimated by comparing the incident photon-to-current efficiency, IPCE, in different spectral regions. The IPCE is given by $\text{IPCE}(\lambda)=L\text{HE}(\lambda)\times \Phi_{inj} \times \eta_c$, where LHE is the light-harvesting efficiency at a given wavelength, $\Phi_{inj}$ is the electron injection efficiency, and $\eta_c$ is the charge collection efficiency. The LHE is the fraction of photons absorbed at that wavelength; it can be described by using Beer’s law in the form $\text{LHE}=1-10^{-\epsilon(\lambda)C}$, where $\epsilon(\lambda)$ is the extinction coefficient and C is concentration. Assuming that the electron injection and charge collection efficiencies are independent of photon wavelength, the ratio of IPCEs at wavelengths associated with different extinction coefficients will vary with $L_n$ until $L_n$ is greater than or equal to the total film thickness (\textasciitilde25 \mu m). Comparison of the ratios of IPCE at different wavelengths has the virtue of canceling any effects due to thickness-dependent injection efficiencies (potentially an issue for the thinnest TiO2 layers).

Figure 4 shows the ratio of $J_{sc}$ collected for illumination with 650 nm light and 520 nm light. The ratio increases with the thickness of TiO2, following the same trend of the ratio of $J_{sc}$ from illumination through the cathode vs. photoanode. Taken together, the trends of increasing $J_{sc}$ ratios with TiO2 thickness provide strong evidence that the observation of increasing current and efficiency with TiO2 thickness shown in Figure 3 is due to increasing charge diffusion length. Further, once a sufficient amount of TiO2 is deposited, \textasciitilde10 nm, the diffusion length is longer than the film thickness and electrons can be collected efficiently from throughout the 25 \mu m thick film.

A standard 10 \mu m thick TiO2 nanoparticle photoanode was prepared for comparison. Surface treatment of the nanoparticles with TiCl4 and an additional layer of large particles used for light scattering have been shown to increase light harvesting, and thus $J_{sc}$, but were omitted here to allow for a direct comparison of the two different TiO2 architectures. Figure 5 shows the $J$–$V$ curves for DSSCs fabricated by using photoanodes of an aerogel coated with 12 nm of TiO2 and nanoparticles. The aerogel-templated photoanode exhibits somewhat higher $J_{sc}$ and lower Voc (due to the larger dark current) than the nanoparticle photoanode, but comparable efficiency. The larger $J_{sc}$ and dark current (and thus lower Voc) for the aerogel-templated photoanode are attributed to the very large surface area.

**Conclusion**

A new pseudo-one-dimensional architecture for DSSC photoanodes was prepared by using templates of low-density, high surface area, mesoporous aerogel thin films. TiO2 was conformally deposited with a controlled variable thickness on aerogel templates by ALD. The cell efficiency was found to increase with increasing thickness of TiO2 deposited due to increasing charge diffusion lengths. The electrodes incorporated into DSSCs displayed good light harvesting and excellent power efficiencies compared with nanoparticle TiO2 based DSSCs. The promising initial performance reported herein, the ease of fabrication, materials generality, and the flexibility of design make ALD-coated aerogel-templated photoanodes a promising candidate to move beyond nanoparticle electrodes in DSSCs.
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