4786 reflections
207 parameters
H atoms constrained

w = 1/\sigma^2(F)^2 + (0.0195P)^2 + 0.856P

where P = (F^2 + 2F_C^2)/3

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond/Atom</th>
<th>Value (Å/°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si1–C19</td>
<td>1.866 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si1–C3</td>
<td>1.869 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn1–C3</td>
<td>2.161 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C19–Si1–C3</td>
<td>108.59 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn1–C6</td>
<td>1.869 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6–Si2–C12</td>
<td>115.6 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3–Sn1–C6</td>
<td>111.5 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, -y, -z.

All H atoms were located in the difference Fourier map, but were placed in calculated positions using a riding model and refined with common isotropic displacement parameters for different C–H types [methylene C–H 0.97 Å and U_H 0.058 (2) Å²; methyl C–H 0.96 Å and U_H 0.058 (2) Å²; aromatic C–H 0.93 Å and U_H 0.043 (3) Å²].
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Abstract

The crystal structure of the adduct dibenzo-42-crown-14 (OC6-22)-tetraammine(1,10-phenanthroline-N,N')-ruthenium(II) bis(hexafluorophosphate) dichloromethane solvate, [Ru(C12H8N2)(NH3)4](PF6)2.C36H56O14.CH2Cl2, is reported. The guest Ru complex is located deep within the U-shaped cavity formed by folding of the flexible crown host. The ammine ligands of the Ru complex participate in an extensive network of hydrogen bonds with the ether O atoms of the crown. Weak π stacking appears to occur between the aromatic rings of the phenanthroline ligand and the benzene groups of the crown ether.

Comment

Hydrogen-bonding interactions between crown O atoms and ammine protons play an important role in the molecular recognition of ammine-metal complexes by crown ethers. For a recent list of references on this subject, see Zhang et al. (1994); for earlier references, see Colquhoun et al. (1986). We have observed that binding of ruthenium-amine complexes by large cyclic crown ethers increases with the size...
and flexibility of the crown host, increased availability of ammine ligands, and a higher oxidation state of the Ru metal center (Todd et al., 1993; Zhang et al., 1995). NMR NOE (nuclear Overhauser enhancement) studies of [Ru(NH$_3$)$_4$(bpy)]$^{2+}$-[DB-42-CR-14] (bpy is 2,2'-bipyridine and DB-42-CR-14 is dibenzo-42-crown-14) indicated a coplanar arrangement of the benzene groups of the crown host, which are centered over the aromatic rings of the bpy ligand. Similar arrangements of dibenzo-crown adducts with [Pt(NH$_3$)$_2$(bpy)]$^2^+$ and with organic bpy derivatives are well documented (see below). The association constant between [Ru(NH$_3$)$_4$(phen)]$^{2+}$ (phen = 1,10-phenanthroline) and the large DB-42-CR-14 host was particularly high and we set out to investigate the solid-state structure of this adduct, (I).

Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of the [Ru(NH$_3$)$_4$(phen)]$^{2+}$ cation, along with the numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are represented at the 50% probability level.

Geometric parameters related to hydrogen bonding are shown in Table 2. Each crown O atom is an acceptor to one ammine ligand on the Ru atom, except for O3 and O5, which are acceptors to two ammine ligands. The N4 ammine ligand is donor to the four crown O atoms (O4, O10–O12) located farthest from the benzene groups in the polyether chain (see Scheme for the numbering of the crown). The ligand associated with N5 is donor to five crown O atoms (O5–O9) near one of the benzene rings, while the ammine ligand associated with N6 forms hydrogen bonds with the corresponding O atoms (O1–O3, O13, O14) in the aliphatic chain close to the other benzene. The N⋯O distances for these contacts range from 2.975(5) to 3.299(5) Å. Second-sphere complexes between ammine-metal complexes

An extensive network of N–H⋯O bonds is present between the complex cation and the crown host (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. Hydrogen bonding of the ammine ligands with the crown O atoms. The ammine ligand associated with N3 is also donor to an F atom (not shown) of a PF$_6^-$ species. Non-amine H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids are represented at the 30% probability level.
and crown ethers often exhibit long hydrogen bonds in this range, with N–O separations of ca 3.0–3.4 Å (Colquhoun et al., 1986). The remaining ammine ligand forms a hydrogen bond with one of the PF₆⁻ species [N₃...F = 3.145 (5) Å], and with O₃ and O₅ [N₃...O = 3.299 (5) and 3.085 (5) Å, respectively], two ether O atoms already participating in hydrogen bonding with N₆ and N₅. A similar N–H...F bond (3.20 Å) has been reported in the host-guest complex [DB-30-CR-10].[Pt(NH₃)₂(bpy)]²⁺ (Colquhoun et al., 1981).

The phen ligand and the benzene rings from the dibenzo-crown host are all roughly parallel to one another. The dihedral angle between the planes of the benzenes in the crown is 19.3 (2)°. As shown in Fig. 3, the six-membered rings of the two benzo groups are almost perfectly aligned, so that each aromatic C atom within the host fragment is located directly above another aromatic (host) C atom, at a distance of 6.5–7.4 Å. The shortest non-bonded contacts between the benzo groups of the host and the phen ligand of the guest are found between the C5 atom of the phen and the C16 and C32 atoms of the benzene ring [C5...C16 = 3.339 (8) and C5...C32 = 3.303 (7) Å]. The dihedral angles between the mean least-squares plane of the phen ligand and each of the two crystallographically independent benzene groups in the crown are 7.7 (1)° and 13.1 (1)°. Other adducts between benzo-crown derivatives (ring size = 30–34) and [Pt(NH₃)₂(bpy)]²⁺, or organic guests derived from 2,2'-bpy or 4,4'-bpy, also feature a quasi-parallel alignment of the benzene rings of the host and the polypyridyl moiety of the guest (Colquhoun et al., 1981, 1986; Kohnke et al., 1985; Allwood et al., 1985, 1987a,b; Allwood, Colquhoun et al., 1987; Allwood, Shahriari-Zavareh et al., 1987; Ashton et al., 1987). Host–guest aromatic ring separations are typically 3.2–3.7 Å, with the distance between the benzene rings in the host ranging from 6.8 to 7.0 Å, and with deviations from parallel alignment of the benzene groups in the host amounting to ca 1–10°. In view of these results, the title structure presents π contacts between the phen ligand and the benzene groups which are within the normal range. Although larger than usually observed, the 19.3° dihedral angle between the planes of the benzene rings is not unprecedented. This angle has been reported to be as large as 22° in a [dinaphtho-30-crown-10]-[Pt(NH₃)₂(bpy)]²⁺ adduct (Allwood, Colquhoun et al., 1987).

All torsion angles about the aliphatic C–C bonds adopt a gauche conformation (torsion angle of ± ca 65°), while those angles about C–O bonds are mostly trans (within ca 30° of 180°), with some C–C–O–C angles adopting a gauche conformation. There is little doubt that it is this flexibility in the polyether chain that allows the crown host to adopt an optimal conformation, which maximizes both hydrogen bonding between the ammine ligands and the ether O atoms, and π-stacking between the aromatic rings of the Ru complex guest and the dibenzo–crown host.

**Experimental**

[Rut(NH₃)₆(phen)](PF₆)₂ was prepared as described by Curtis et al. (1983) for the 2,2'-bipyridine analog. The synthesis of DB-42-C-14 is reported elsewhere (Todd et al., 1993). Hexanes (13 ml) were layered on top of a solution of [Rut(NH₃)₆(phen)](PF₆)₂ (21.5 mg, 0.034 mmol) and DB-42-C-14 (24 mg, 0.034 mmol) in 7 ml of dry CH₂Cl₂. Needles of the adduct (31 mg, 68% yield) were isolated after 5 d in the refrigerator.

**Crystal data**

[Rut(C₁₂H₂₄N₂)(NH₃)₆]-, (PF₆)₂.C₃₆H₅₆O₁₄.CH₂Cl₂

\[M_r = 1437.09\]

Triclinic

\[P\bar{1}\]

\[a = 11.479 (2) \text{ Å}\]

\[b = 12.828 (2) \text{ Å}\]

\[c = 22.475 (3) \text{ Å}\]

\[\alpha = 89.04 (1)^\circ\]

\[\beta = 84.80 (1)^\circ\]

\[\gamma = 69.19 (1)^\circ\]

\[V = 3080.4 (8) \text{ Å}^³\]

\[Z = 2\]

\[D_m = 1.547 \text{ Mg m}^{-³}\]

\[D_m \text{ not measured}\]

Mo Ka radiation

\[\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}\]

Cell parameters from 25 reflections

\[\theta = 9.0–11.6^\circ\]

\[\mu = 0.494 \text{ mm}^{-1}\]

\[T = 153 (2) \text{ K}\]

Needle

0.32 × 0.20 × 0.05 mm

Purple

Fig. 3. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of the [Rut(NH₃)₆(phen)](PF₆)₂-D B-42-CR-14 adduct, showing the overlap between the phenanthroline ligand and the benzene groups on the crown. The complex cation is represented with hollow ellipsoids and the crown ether with shaded octants. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids are represented at the 50% probability level.
Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer

\( I / \theta \) scans

Absorption correction:

analytical (de Meulenaer & Tompa, 1965)

\( T_{\text{min}} = 0.867, T_{\text{max}} = 0.970 \)

8803 measured reflections

8545 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on \( F^2 \)

\( R(F) = 0.047 \)

\( wR(F^2) = 0.081 \)

776 parameters

H atoms: see below

8545 independent reflections

8803 measured reflections

Extinction correction:

\( R_{\text{int}} = 0.041 \)

\( \Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 1.05 \text{ e} \cdot \text{Å}^{-3} \)

\( \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -1.51 \text{ e} \cdot \text{Å}^{-3} \)

Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ru--N1</th>
<th>Ru--N2</th>
<th>Ru--N3</th>
<th>N1--Ru--N2</th>
<th>N1--Ru--N3</th>
<th>N1--Ru--N4</th>
<th>N1--Ru--N5</th>
<th>N1--Ru--N6</th>
<th>N2--Ru--N6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>2.023 (4)</td>
<td>2.029 (4)</td>
<td>2.145 (4)</td>
<td>80.52 (16)</td>
<td>175.36 (16)</td>
<td>90.51 (14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>2.127 (4)</td>
<td>2.120 (4)</td>
<td>2.130 (4)</td>
<td>94.95 (15)</td>
<td>88.83 (14)</td>
<td>173.57 (15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D---H···A</th>
<th>D---A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>3.146 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>3.209 (5)</td>
<td>3.095 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>3.064 (5)</td>
<td>3.108 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4</td>
<td>3.190 (5)</td>
<td>3.108 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5</td>
<td>3.084 (5)</td>
<td>3.084 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N6</td>
<td>3.099 (5)</td>
<td>3.099 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symmetry code: (i) \(-x, 1-y, 1-z\)

Data were only collected up to \( \theta = 23^\circ \), because of the low intensities of the reflections. H atoms on the crown and phen fragments were calculated at idealized positions using a riding model, with C---H bonds of 0.95 Å and displacement parameters 20% larger than that of the corresponding C atom. The H atoms on the ammine ligands were located from a Fourier map. The number of observed reflections did not allow for refinement of all atomic postions. Therefore, the positions of the ammine H atoms were not refined, and their isotropic displacement parameters were fixed at a value 50% larger than that of their bonded N atom. Two F atoms (F2 and F6) of one of the PF6- anions showed large displacement ellipsoids. These are probably associated with unresolved disorder, but attempts to model the disorder were not successful. The largest residual peak (1.05 e Å\(^{-3}\)) in the difference Fourier map is located between two F atoms (F9 and F12) of the PF6- counter-ion associated with P2. No other peaks of significant intensity were found around P2. The largest negative peak (−1.51 e Å\(^{-3}\)) is located 0.09 Å from the Ru atom.

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Cell refinement: CAD-4 Software. Data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1995). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: TEXSAN. Software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN.
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Abstract

The title compounds, poly[bis(benzimidazole-N³)-copper(II)-μ-acetylenedicarboxylato-O:O'-μ-aqua], [Cu(C₂O₄)(C₇H₆N₂)₂(H₂O)], (I), and poly[bis(benzimidazole-N³)copper(II)-μ-aqua-μ-fumarato-O:O'], [Cu(C₂H₂O₄)((C₇H₆N₂)₂(H₂O))], (II), have been synthesized and their crystal structures determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction at room temperature. Structures (I) and (II) are very similar. In both structures, the centrosymmetric Cu atoms are bridged by the dicarboxylic acid ions in a bis-monodentate fashion, forming polymeric chains. The Cu···Cu intrachain distances are 9.546 (1) Å in (I) and 9.276 (1) Å in (II). These chains are connected by bridging H₂O molecules, which are located on the twofold axes by Cu···H₂O bonds of 2.593 (1) Å in (I) and 2.668 (1) Å in (II). The Cu···Cu distances in the -Cu···H₂O···Cu- chains are 5.090 (1) and 5.199 (1) Å in (I) and (II), respectively. The base of the elongated octahedron of the CuII atoms is formed by two short Cu···O and two short Cu···N bonds [Cu···O = 1.970 (1) and 1.946 (2) Å, and Cu···N = 2.005 (2) and 2.016 (2) Å in (I) and (II), respectively]. The coordination of the carboxylate groups and the hydrogen bonds are discussed. The isostructurality of the title compounds and of their previously investigated homologue, (III), has been analysed.

Comment

The CuII complexes of dicarboxylic acids are of interest because of their rich variety of structural features and their interesting magnetic properties. Most often, the Cu atoms are bridged by the dicarboxylic acid ions in a bisbidentate fashion (Tosik *et al.*, 1995a), and rarely in the chelate-bridge tridentate fashion (Tosik *et al.*, 1995b) or the bis-bridge monodentate fashion (Tosik & Bukowska-Strzyżewska, 1992).

A knowledge of the structure is needed to allow an interpretation of the magnetic properties of polynuclear complexes with paramagnetic centres. It has been shown that two paramagnetic centres could interact through extended bridging ligands, even if these centres were relatively far away from each other (Verdaguer *et al.*, 1984).

The bridging acetylenedicarboxylato and fumarato ligands in the title compounds, (I) and (II), give a Cu···Cu separation of less than 10 Å, and the present μ-aqua CuII complexes provide an opportunity for analysing the interaction between neighbouring CuII centres through the apical water molecules. The magnetic properties of the title compounds will be investigated at a later date.