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Mediator-based spectroelectrochemical assessment of the metal-centered formal potential (\(E^\text{fRu}\)) of a representative inorganic dye, Ru(4,4′-(CH\(_2\)PO\(_3\))\(_2\)-2,2′-bipyridine),\(^{10}\) bound to a nanocrystalline titanium dioxide film shows that the potential is insensitive to changes in solution pH, despite significant shifts in the conduction band edge energy (\(E_{\text{CB}}\)) of the underlying semiconductor electrode in response to the same environmental perturbations. The observations are important in the context of recent work showing that back-electron reactivity for the same semiconductor/dye combination is pH independent over a 19 pH unit range, despite apparent changes in back-reaction driving force of greater than 1.2 eV over the same interval (Yan, S.; Hupp, J. T. J. Phys. Chem. B. 1996, 100, 6867). In particular, the spectroelectrochemical findings serve to rule out a suggested alternative interpretation of the unusual kinetic effects whereby the crucial energy difference quantity, \(E_{\text{CB}} - E^\text{fRu}\), remains fixed because of compensating changes in the dye potential with pH.

Introduction

Inorganic dye sensitization of wide band gap semiconductors has attracted tremendous recent attention because of the possibility of relatively efficient visible light to electrical energy conversion in photoelectrochemical cells that employ these assemblies.\(^1\) The assemblies are also of interest because of their ability to offer insight into the fundamental kinetics and dynamics of fast interfacial redox processes.\(^2\) Recently we reported on the kinetics for back-electron transfer from TiO\(_2\) to a hexaphosphonated form of ruthenium tris(bipyridine), following light-induced forward electron injection from a charge-transfer excited state of the metal complex.\(^3\) Hexaphosphonation served to facilitate strong surface attachment, thereby permitting the reaction to be examined over an extremely wide pH range.\(^3,4\)

The surprising finding was that the back-ET kinetics were pH independent,\(^3\) despite the known Nernstian dependence of the TiO\(_2\) conduction band edge energy (\(E_{\text{CB}}\)) on solution pH.\(^5\) Indeed, over the pH range examined, the nominal strength of TiO\(_2\) as a reductant varied by more than 1.2 eV.\(^3\) The striking decoupling of the ET kinetics from the pH-variable energetics was speculatively interpreted in terms of a sequential electron transfer/proton transfer process where (a) the isolated electron transfer event (pH independent) defined the rate-determining dynamics, but (b) the combined processes (pH dependent) determined the overall reaction energetics. Supporting evidence for the interpretation was garnered from quartz crystal microbalance experiments which independently showed that proton transfer (intercalation) accompanies both electrochemical and photochemical addition of electrons to the titanium dioxide conduction band or nearby surface states.\(^6\)

An interesting alternative interpretation of the pH-independent kinetics is that the formal potential for the ruthenium dye (\(E^\text{fRu}\)) acquires a pH dependence upon confinement to the semiconductor surface.\(^7\) If this pH dependence precisely followed the pH dependence of the conduction band edge, the difference quantity (\(E_{\text{CB}} - E^\text{fRu}\)) obviously would be pH independent. It would then likely be unnecessary to invoke sequential electron and proton transfer to account for the otherwise puzzling reaction kinetics. Candidate mechanisms for induction of pH-dependent dye energetics\(^7\) could include (a) strong electronic coupling between formally metal-dye-localized electronic states and the titanium dioxide conduction band or (b) Coulombic perturbation of \(E^\text{fRu}\) by surface oxide/hydroxide protonation and deprotonation processes. The former seems highly unlikely in view of the six-atom (seven-bond) “bridge” separating the redox-active ruthenium center from surface titanium atoms in the phosphonated dye/semiconductor assembly (eq 1). The latter mechanism is also problematic, however, unless the primary mechanism for conduction band edge energy tuning is also Coulombic perturbation (i.e., essentially a double-layer effect). We have suggested elsewhere that pH-based \(E_{\text{CB}}\) tuning is instead achieved primarily via a “Pourbaix” type effect where electron addition induces the intercalation of charge-compensating cations. In any case, evaluation of the pH dependence of the formal potential for a surface-bound dye would provide an important test of the viability of the alternative explanation of the anomalous ET rate phenomenon.

We describe below a study of the pH dependence of \(E_I\) for a representative redox dye, Ru(4,4′-(CH\(_2\)PO\(_3\))\(_2\)-2,2′-bipyridine),\(^{10}\) bound to a high-area titanium dioxide electrode. The study shows that the potential is approximately pH independent, supporting our original contention and supporting—at least,
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indirectly—the Pourbaix interpretation of conduction band edge energy modulation.

**Experimental Section**

**Materials.** High-area nanocrystalline titanium dioxide electrodes were prepared in thin film form via the following procedure which is a variant of a method described by O’Regan et al. Over a 2 min period 30 mL of Ti(OCH(CH₃)₂)₄ (Aldrich) was added dropwise to a stirring solution of nitric acid (2.6 mL) in 375 mL of deionized water. Stirring was continued for 2 h at ambient temperature, followed by 8 h at 80 °C to evaporate the propanol formed. The resulting sol was heated for an additional 12 h at 200 °C in a stainless steel pressure vessel. Water was removed by rotary evaporation until a sol concentration of 160 g/L was achieved. Carbowax 20 000 (40% weight equivalent of TiO₂) was added to the concentrated sol, and the mixture was stirred overnight. Films were prepared by spin coating the sol onto conductive glass (fluorine-doped tin oxide; Asahi) followed by annealing for 1 h in air at 400 °C.

The ruthenium-based dye was prepared as a nominally hexaphosphoester species as previously described. Conversion to the diphosphonate was accomplished by acid-catalyzed hydrolysis in 6 M aqueous HCl (minutes). The solvent was then removed by rotary evaporation. The dye residue was redissolved in 5 mM HNO₃ in water (pH = 2.45). The surface attachment procedure consisted of soaking nanocrystalline film electrodes in the acidified dye solution for 24 h.

[Fe(phen)₃](PF₆)₂ (phen is 1,10-phenanthroline) was prepared by a literature method.

**Methods.** Electrochemical measurements were initially made in a one-compartment cell featuring either a titanium dioxide or indium-doped tin oxide (“conductive glass”) working electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode, and a saturated (NaCl) calomel reference electrode (s.s.c.e.). Potentials were controlled with a PAR 264A potentiostat. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded at 100 mV/s. Visible-region spectrochemical measurements were made by using an HP 8452A diode array spectrophotometer together with cofacial glass/conductive glass or titanium dioxide/conductive glass assemblies featuring ~100 μm Teflon spacers. In the assemblies, only the conductive glass component was employed as a working electrode component. Filling of the assemblies was achieved via capillary action. Aqueous electrolyte solutions for studies at either pH 4 or 7, respectively, contained either 50 mM potassium hydrogen phthalate or 50 mM KH₂PO₄, together with Fe(phen)₃²⁺ as a redox mediator. For measurements at other pH values, appropriate amounts of HNO₃ or NaOH were added. At the lowest pH values, however, the buffer component was omitted.

**Results and Discussion**

Formal potentials for the surface-bound ruthenium dye were initially estimated simply by recording cyclic voltammograms. The voltammetry experiments yielded small reversible surface-type waves whose potentials were invariant with pH over the range from 1 to 8; i.e., evidence for coupling to the pH-dependent conduction band energetics of the titanium dioxide electrode was not found. A point of concern, however, is that in the potential region where dye oxidation occurs the semiconductor is nominally insulating. While the observed surface redox waves could be due to leakage currents associated with midgap states, they conceivably also could arise from oxidation and reduction of dye molecules that interact directly with the underlying conductive glass surface (for example, via pinhole defects). In view of the typically small sizes of the waves and the correspondingly small amount of dye oxidized, the pinhole interpretation is difficult to discount. Consequently, we sought an alternative method that would enable us to determine the average potential for the entire collection of dye molecules residing on an insulating substrate.

Figure 1 illustrates the second approach. Surface-bound dye molecules are indirectly addressed via interactions with a freely diffusing redox mediator, Fe(phen)₃²⁺/²⁺. This mediator was chosen because of the proximity of its formal potential (0.83 V) to the anticipated dye potential. The exact potential of the dye was determined spectrally by (a) poising the thin-layer cell solution at a predetermined potential, (b) allowing the surface-bound dye to equilibrate with the poised mediator solution, (c) determining spectrally the fractions, f(III) and f(II), of dye in oxidized and reduced form, respectively, and (d) repeating steps a–c at a new potential. The resulting data were then fit graphically to the Nernst equation written in the following form:

\[ E_{f}^{Ru} = E(\text{poised}) - (RT/F) \ln(f(\text{III})/f(\text{II})) \]  

Interference from the mediator was avoided both via the offset of the mediator absorption (λₘₚₐₓ = 550 nm) from the dye absorption (λₘₚₐₓ = 460 nm) and by utilizing an extremely short solution path length. In some cases spectra were also corrected for residual mediator absorbance effects by running blank experiments in spectroelectrochemical cells lacking the ruthenium dye.
The text on the page provides details about the electrochemical behavior of a thin-layer mediator solution at pH, independent of shifts in potential of the surface-bound dye, which is essentially fully pH-stoichiometric proton intercalation following electron addition. Figure 4, which plots the absorbance changes at 460 nm versus the poised potential of the thin-layer mediator solution at pH = 4. Figure 5 illustrates how the absorbance of E_{Ru} varies as a function of the potential of the mediator solution. The coupling of E_{Ru} to E_{CB} was unaffected by hydroxide protonation and deprotonation equilibria. On the other hand, if E_{CB} were instead modulated primarily by the presence of other cations, the value obtained for E_{Ru} was unaffected by replacement of the mediator by a second mediator couple (Fe(5-chlorophenolato)).
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6. As expected if the spectroelectrochemical methodology were functioning reliably, the value obtained for E_{Ru} was unaffected by replacement of the mediator by a second mediator couple (Fe(5-chloro-1,10-phenanthroline))^{2+/3} displaying a different formal potential.
10. (a) ‘H NMR characterization of a previous preparation showed evidence for hydrolysis of some of the phosphoester functionalities prior to intentional acid-catalyzed hydrolysis.
12. As expected if the spectroelectrochemical methodology were functioning reliably, the value obtained for E_{Ru} was unaffected by replacement of the mediator by a second mediator couple (Fe(5-chloro-1,10-phenanthroline))^{2+/3} displaying a different formal potential.
13. The required (f(III) and f(II)) values were obtained from Figure 3 by assuming that f(III) is unity at the most positive potential and that f(II) is unity at the least positive potentials. This approach corrects for errors due to incorrect background subtraction, residual absorbance by inaccessible Ru(II), and/or residual absorbance by Ru(III).
14. An approximately pH-invariant surface formal potential was also observed (via mediated spectroelectrochemistry) for a second complex featuring a pair of 5-nitro-1,10-phenanthroline ligands in place of two of the three available diphosphonated bipyridine ligands.