Using Resonance Raman Spectroscopy To Examine Vibrational Barriers to Electron Transfer and Electronic Delocalization
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ABSTRACT

A time-dependent approach to the interpretation of resonance Raman scattering intensities has been used to obtain quantitative vibrational mode displacement information from scattering intensities associated with charge-transfer excitation. The displacements and associated frequencies are the key parameters needed to understand Franck–Condon effects in electron-transfer kinetics, and to delineate in a mode-specific way the composition of vibrational reorganization energies. Application of the approach to a number of types of electron-transfer reactions is described, including symmetrical and unsymmetrical intervalence electron transfers in inorganic and organic redox systems, metal-to-ligand charge-transfer reactions, and interfacial electron-transfer reactions. Also described is how the approach can be used to elucidate mechanisms for valence delocalization in strongly interacting redox systems.

Introduction

All molecules respond to changes in charge or oxidation state by changing their geometric structures. If no bonds are severed, the changes (at least, vibrational changes) are small yet. Nevertheless, the changes in structure (changes in location of the atoms within the molecule) can have enormous kinetic consequences in charge-transfer reactions: First, as shown in Figure 1a, for reactions which are not too exoergic, structural differences enhance the overlap of reactant and product vibrational wave functions. From Fermi’s golden rule, enhanced overlap accelerates electron transfer.

To quantify structure-change-related ET rate effects, we need two things: the vibrational frequency, \( \nu \), and the unitless normal-coordinate displacement, \( \Delta \). Note that a displacement value of 1 corresponds to one standard deviation of the Gaussian probability distribution of the ground-sate vibrational wave function. Furthermore, at least in principle, we need this information for every Franck–Condon active vibrational mode—that is, every vibrational mode that experiences a change in normal coordinates when an electron is transferred. In Figure 1, the normal-coordinate displacement is represented as a displacement of reactant and product curves along the horizontal axis, i.e., the traditional reaction-coordinate axis. The frequency, on the other hand, is reflected in the
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FIGURE 1. Schematic representation of free energy surfaces and their relationship to the free energy driving force (\( \Delta G^0 \)), reorganization energy (\( \lambda \)), classical activation free energy (\( \Delta G^* \)), electronic coupling energy (\( H_{ab} \)), and vibrational mode displacement (\( \Delta \)) for electron transfer in (a) the Marcus normal region and (b) the Marcus inverted region, where greater displacement leads to better vibrational overlap and faster electron transfer.
degree of curvature of the energy surfaces. Clearly, for weakly exoergic reactions, the bigger the mode displacement and the higher the vibrational frequency, the larger the classical activation barrier.

One way to expressing the effective magnitude of mode displacements is in terms of Marcus's vibrational reorganization energy, $\lambda$: the hypothetical energy cost for changing the reactant's nuclear coordinates to match those of equilibrated product; see Figure 1. If the vibrations are harmonic—usually a good approximation—$\lambda_v$ is given by

$$\lambda_v = 0.5 \sum \Delta_k^2 v_k$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The contribution made by a single mode, $k$, is

$$\lambda_{vk} = 0.5 \Delta_k^2 v_k$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The total reorganization energy usually also includes a component due to reorientation of polar solvent molecules ($\lambda_{total} = \lambda_v + \lambda_a$).

How can the all-important displacement and reorganization parameters be determined? One approach is to measure, typically via X-ray crystallographic or EXAFS experiments, the exact locations of all atoms in the redox reactants and all atoms in the redox products. If a complete normal-coordinate analysis is available, the resulting collection of bond-length changes, $\Delta_a$, can be converted to normal-coordinate displacements. If the corresponding $v$ values are known, eqs 1 and 2 can often be used to get $\lambda_v$ and $\lambda_{vk}$. Alternatively, the needed $\Delta$ and $v$ values can sometimes be obtained directly from resonance Raman experiments. How to do this is the focus of the next section.

The Time-Dependent Scattering Analysis

It has long been appreciated that resonance Raman experiments report on vibrational Franck-Condon activity. Recall that Raman scattering is an inelastic process entailing electronic excitation to a virtual or real molecular state (or sum of states), and a nominally instantaneous return to the ground electronic state, but in a higher or lower vibrational state (see Figure 2). The resonance Raman effect arises because electronic excitation causes a redistribution of charge which, in turn, induces normal-coordinate displacements and causes local changes in polarizability. Importantly, however, the only modes that show intensity enhancement in a resonance experiment are those displaced upon formation of the excited state. Furthermore, the more they displace, the greater is the degree of enhancement.

Electronic transitions such as eq 3 are necessarily accompanied by a redistribution of charge which (a) induces normal-coordinate displacements and (b) causes local changes in polarizability. From the time-dependent theory of Raman scattering, the relationship between the polarizability tensor for resonant or near-resonant scattering, $\alpha_n$, and the coordinate displacement, $\Delta_n$, for mode $k$ is given by the half-Fourier transform of the overlap of a wave packet, $\phi(t)$, moving on the upper potential energy surface: (Figure 1) and a vibrational wave function, $\phi_r$, on the lower surface:

$$\alpha_n = \frac{2\pi i}{\hbar} \int_0^\infty \langle \phi(t) | \phi(t) \rangle \exp(i\omega t - \Gamma t - i\Delta_{n} \omega t - \lambda_{k} \omega t^2) dt \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where

$$\langle \phi(t) | \phi(t) \rangle = \prod_k \exp \left\{-\frac{\Delta_k^2}{2} \left[1 - \exp(-i\omega_k t) \right] - \frac{i\omega_k t^2}{2} \right\} \times \left[1 - \exp(-i\omega_k t) \right]^{n(k)} \times \frac{(-1)^{n(k)} \Delta_k^{n(k)}}{(2\pi \hbar n(k))^2} \exp(-iE_0 t) \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

In the equations, $\hbar$ is Planck's constant, $t$ is time, $\omega_k$ is $2\pi$ times the mode frequency, $E_0$ is the electronic energy gap, $n(k)$ is the vibrational quantum number of the $k$th mode on the ground electronic surface, and $\Gamma$ is a homogeneous damping term (dephasing term) which acts to kill the wave packet on the excited-state surface. Following Myers, two terms involving $\Delta_k$ (the solvent reorganization energy for reaction 3) and the temperature have been added to eq 4. The first term (real term) acts as an inhomogeneous broadening or damping term (similar to $\Gamma$), and the second term (imaginary term) acts as an energy shift (since the solvent modes have Franck-Condon activity).

For eqs 4 and 5, the connection to experimental observables comes from the square root relationship between scattering intensities and tensor elements. In addition, as noted by Heller, the full Fourier transform of the vibrational overlap yields the absorption spectrum—which, of course, also is experimentally accessible. To determine displacements for a charge-transfer reaction, we can make use of experimentally measured relative scattering intensities, $I_n$, and the charge-transfer absorp-
tation (or emission) spectrum. Simultaneous fitting yields displacement parameters, a set of calculated relative scattering intensities, and a calculated absorption or emission spectrum. Mode-specific contributions to the vibrational reorganizational energy can be obtained from unitless normal-coordinate displacements by using eq 2, above.

Applications to Charge-Transfer Systems

Metal-to-Ligand Charge Transfer. Among the simplest and experimentally most accessible charge-transfer transitions are visible-region metal-to-ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) transitions. Some time ago we examined the Ru(dπ)-to-bpy(3+) transition in Ru(NH₃)₅(bpy)²⁺ (bpy = 2,2′-bipyridine).1,8 The results of a Savin’s rule analysis of normal-coordinate displacements and mode-specific reorganization energies9 are shown in Table 1, together with the results of a more sophisticated analysis involving a true time-dependent treatment of the observed absolute scattering intensities. We initially published data based only on the Savin’s rule analysis, reflecting our more primitive understanding of the vibrational-reorganization problem at the beginning of our studies several years ago. Notably, results from both the primitive and more sophisticated analysis agree well with displacements derived from standard Franck–Condon analyses of low-temperature 3MLCT excited-state emission spectra of closely related compounds.10 (The tetraammine complex itself is not emissive.) Note, however, that the Raman-based analysis typically yields (a) higher precision numbers than do X-ray approaches and (b) considerably more vibrational detail than does the emission fitting approach.

Interfacial Charge Transfer. In principle, the time-dependent analysis of Raman scattering intensities could be used to obtain mode specific vibrational barrier information for charge-transfer reactions occurring at electrode/solution interfaces. There are two technical problems: First, standard metal electrodes are opaque, making it difficult or impossible to obtain the charge-transfer absorption spectra needed to interpret the Raman scattering spectra. Second, the number of molecular scatterers available on a flat surface is typically orders of magnitude smaller than the number available in a solution-phase experiment, making it extremely difficult to obtain the Raman spectrum. In principle, both problems can be solved by replacing the metal electrode with medium or large band gap semiconductor particles—in the form of either high-area electrode films or extremely high surface area colloidal suspensions. The key is that the band gap opens up a region of electrode or particle transparency such that the absorption associated with the interfacial charge-transfer transition can be directly observed.

Vrachnou, Gratzel, and McEvoy have reported several examples of molecule-to-surface (TiO₂) charge-transfer absorption.11 We carried out a resonance Raman study of one of these transitions: the ferrocyanide-to-colloidal titanium dioxide (semiconductor) charge-transfer transition shown in eq 6 and Figure 3.12 We found that a total of eight vibrational modes couple to the interfacial charge transfer, the most important being a high-frequency bridging cyanide ligand stretching mode. Notably, the experiments also revealed that vibrations associated with the semiconductor side of the interface—in this case two Ti–O lattice stretching modes—can couple to the reaction.

The original report contained a tabulation of vibrational displacements and mode-specific reorganization energies derived from a simplified Savin’s rule analysis inspired by the time-dependent treatment—again reflecting our primitive perspective at that time. Table 2 contains a revised

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frequency (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>α²</th>
<th>α²</th>
<th>Δ</th>
<th>Δ</th>
<th>Δ</th>
<th>assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>1.5x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>1.3x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1481</td>
<td>4.9x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>4.9x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1548</td>
<td>2.2x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>2.2x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605</td>
<td>2.4x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>2.4x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"a" Time-dependent theory (previously unpublished results). "b" Savin’s rule estimates; see ref 9.
the CdS/nitrobenzene case, seven modes are coupled to the interfacial charge-transfer transition shown in eq 6.

Other examples of interfacial charge-transfer barrier assessment via resonance Raman spectroscopy include catechol-to-TiO\textsubscript{2} charge transfer and quantum-confined cadmium sulfide-to-nitrobenzene charge transfer.\textsuperscript{15,16} In the CdS/nitrobenzene case, seven modes are coupled to the charge-transfer reaction, and the largest single-mode displacement is in the Cd–S stretch. Additional experiments show, however, that the charge-transfer reaction is a highly surface-localized process with only the outermost cadmium and sulfur atoms directly participating.

**Outer-Sphere Charge Transfer.** Many electrochemical reactions occur by outer-sphere reaction pathways. For example:

\[
\text{N} = \text{C} = \text{N} - \text{CH}_3 + e^- \text{(electrode)} \rightarrow \text{N} = \text{C} = \text{N} - \text{CH}_3
\]  

A potentially powerful way of collecting mode-specific vibrational barrier information would be to pair the electrochemical reactant with a complementary molecular or monatomic electron donor or acceptor, and then resonantly scatter photons from the resulting donor/acceptor charge-transfer transition, eq 3.\textsuperscript{17} The challenge with donor/acceptor ion pairs and related neutral complexes is to collect meaningful Raman data despite the typically weak extinction of the charge-transfer transition. $\kappa_{\text{max}}$ for eq 3, for example, is only ca. 50 M\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-1}. If the charge-transfer absorbance is sufficiently weak, the observed Raman scattering can, in principle, be dominated by resonant enhancement effects from strongly allowed transitions absorbing at higher energy, rather than by resonant charge-transfer enhancement effects. For eq 3, Raman excitation profile measurements, together with control studies with ion pairs that lack charge-transfer transitions, clearly establish that the observed scattering is dominated by the desired charge-transfer enhancement;\textsuperscript{18} the scattering intensities, therefore, can be used in the time-dependent analysis to obtain charge-transfer barrier information. Table 3 summarizes the resonant vibrational activity observed for reaction 3 (and 7).\textsuperscript{19} It also summarizes the displacement and mode-specific vibrational reorganizational information for reactions 3 and 7. For us, the take-home messages from the structural study were (a) that a large number of modes could contribute to the vibrational barrier for a “simple” outer-sphere electron-transfer reaction and (b) that sufficient high-frequency vibrational activity likely existed to induce significant nonclassical kinetic effects. These effects,

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{mode} & |\Delta| & \lambda_{\text{v}}/\text{mol}^{-1} & \text{assignment} \\
\text{frequency)/(cm}^{-1} & \text{relative scattering intensity} & \text{assignment} \\
1650 & 0.10 & 1.19 & \gamma(\text{C} = \text{C}) \\
1490 & 0.25 & 0.64 & 3.6 \delta_{\text{as}}(\text{CH}_3) \\
1290 & 0.20 & 0.64 & 3.1 \nu(\text{N} = \text{C} = \text{N}) \\
1234 & 0.38 & 0.91 & 6.2 \nu(\text{C} = \text{N}) \\
1213 & 0.32 & 0.85 & 5.2 \delta(\text{H} = \text{H})(\text{ring}) \\
1182 & 0.99 & 1.53 & 16.5 (\text{ring breathing}) \\
944 & 0.52 & 0.81 & 8.6 \delta(\text{C} = \text{H})(\text{ring}) \\
714 & 0.03 & 0.10 & 0.7 \gamma(\text{C} = \text{H})(\text{ring}) \\
678 & 0.08 & 0.69 & 1.9 \gamma(\text{C} = \text{H})(\text{ring}) \\
590 & 0.12 & 0.97 & 3.4 \gamma(\text{N} = \text{CH}_3) \\
551 & 0.15 & 1.16 & 4.4 \delta(\text{C} = \text{N} = \text{C}) \\
416 & 0.19 & 1.69 & 7.1 \gamma(\text{CH}_3) \\
\end{array}$$

Table 3. Vibrational and Reorganizational Parameters for 4-Cyano-N-methylpyridinium Reduction

parameter listing based on a true wave packet propagation analysis. The measurements and subsequent analysis show that, in this instance, reactant attachment to the semiconductor surface has an enormous effect upon the vibrational reorganization energy. X-ray crystallography and electrochemical EXAFS measurements, which both report on the Fe(CN)\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{3–/4–} couple in isolation, imply that just one mode rather than eight modes contribute to the vibrational barrier for a “simple” outer-sphere electron-transfer reaction

![Figure 3. Visible-region absorption spectra of aqueous solutions of ferrocyanide (dashed line), colloidal TiO\textsubscript{2} (dotted line), and ferrocyanide + TiO\textsubscript{2} (solid line). The broad absorption band centered at 420 nm corresponds to the interfacial charge-transfer transition shown in eq 6.](image-url)
expressed as nuclear-tunneling-type rate enhancements, were examined by using a standard quantum mechanical, multimode electron-transfer rate treatment and are summarized in Table 4 for each of the 13 modes comprising the vibrational barrier to eq 7 under electron-exchange conditions (zero thermodynamic driving force conditions).

Unsymmetrical Mixed-Valence Systems. Unsymmetrical mixed-valence systems—especially cyanide-bridged systems—have emerged as spectacular systems for interrogating ultrafast intramolecular electron-transfer kinetics and dynamics. As suggested by the example in eq 8, intervalence excitation entails activation of precisely those modes involved in back electron transfer. Raman studies

\[
\text{(CN)}_3\text{Ru}^{II} \cdots \text{Cu}^{II} \cdots \text{N} \cdots \text{Ru}^{III}(\text{NH}_3)_5^+ \rightarrow \text{(CN)}_3\text{Ru}^{III} \cdots \text{C}^{III} \cdots \text{N} \cdots \text{Ru}^{II}(\text{NH}_3)_5^- \quad \text{(8)}
\]

should yield, therefore, precisely the normal-coordinate displacements and mode-specific reorganization energies needed to understand the back ET reaction. Preliminary studies of the reaction showed that at least eight vibrational modes couple to the optical intervalence-transfer and thermal back electron-transfer processes. Notably, the studies indicate Franck-Condon activity in both the donor and acceptor portions of the molecule, consistent with metal-to-metal charge transfer. The experiments also show that the single largest component of the reorganization energy comes from displacement of a bridging cyanide stretching mode—not unlike the interfacial study charge-transfer reaction discussed above. That this high-frequency mode is exceptionally Franck-Condon active is chemically reasonable. The bridging cyanide ligand is subjected to significant changes in back-bonding and in electrostatic interactions with both metal centers on account of intervalence transfer. A more quantitative description of displacements and reorganization energies requires independent information about the solvent reorganization energy and the degree of electronic coupling. Notably, the information needed to evaluate both is becoming available from electronic Stark effect measurements for several cyanide-bridged systems.21

In an extension of the preliminary studies, Walker and co-workers confirmed that the remaining cyanide ligands also participate in the vibrational reorganization, albeit to a lesser extent.22 Remarkably, however, the extent of participation proved to be adjustable on the basis of changes in the identity of the solvent. The sensitivity to solvent appeared to be associated with the propensity of these ligands to engage in varying degrees of hydrogen bonding with protic solvents.

That various metal—ligand modes are coupled to intervalence transfer has been confirmed in a strikingly direct fashion via the observation of oscillations (“quantum beats”) in ultrafast transient absorbance recovery signals.23 As shown in Figure 4 for (CN)5Ru—CN—Ru(NH3)5+, the frequencies extracted by Fourier transforming the residuals of intervalence fits are identical to those seen in Raman experiments. Further analysis shows that the oscillations result from impulsively stimulated Raman scattering during the femtosecond pump—probe experiment. A similar result has been reported by Arnett and Scherer on the basis of ultrafast pump—probe studies of the classic cyanide-bridged mixed-valent metal polymer, Prussian blue.24

Structures 2–13, below, illustrate the molecular systems (exclusive of symmetrical dimeric systems) for which intervalence-enhanced Raman scattering data are available.25–30 Note that the list includes an interesting trinuclear system possessing a symmetrical valence distribution in the ground state, FeII—PtIII—FeII, but an unsymmetrical distribution in the excited state, FeII—PtII—FeIII (or the energetically equivalent FeII—PtIII—FeII). The list also includes a system for which the usual roles of metal and ligand are reversed: Diimine and dithiolate ligands serve as electron acceptor and donor, respectively, while a metal ion serves as a bridge.

Symmetrical Mixed-Valence Systems. Symmetrical mixed-valence systems are, in many respects, the simplest systems capable of displaying intramolecular electron-transfer behavior.23 As shown in Figure 5, symmetry makes the vibrationally equilibrated redox reactants and products
energetically equivalent and leads to particularly simple relationships between the diabatic activation free energy for thermal electron transfer, the total reorganization energy, and the optical intervalence absorption energy, i.e.,

\[ \Delta G^*(\text{dia}) = \frac{\lambda_{(\text{total})}}{4} - \frac{E_{(\text{op})}}{4}. \]

The reorganization energy, in turn, is a function of normal-coordinate displacements and mode frequencies (eqs 1 and 2). Furthermore, the inherent structural symmetry of the equilibrated ET reactants and products (note that they are related as mirror images) necessarily makes the frequencies of the vibrational modes identical in the diabatic reactant and product states. We were initially surprised, therefore, to uncover significant modeling complexities in a resonance Raman study of intervalence charge transfer between covalently linked diaza donor and acceptor sites, eq 9.

The Raman studies show that six vibrational modes couple to the intervalence transfer reaction. Consistent with the changes in nitrogen–nitrogen bond order accompanying the transfer, the most important modes are diaza stretching modes. By using relative Raman scattering intensities and the machinery associated with eqs 4 and 5, we found that the system could be modeled effectively and that a complete set of normal-coordinate displacements and mode-specific vibrational reorganization energies could be extracted. Curiously, however, the observed absolute Raman scattering intensities proved to be an order of magnitude smaller than expected on the basis of the structural parameters from the relative scattering analysis. The inconsistency ultimately turned out to be a diagnostic for diabatic vs adiabatic wave packet propagation. Briefly, our analyses were implemented by assuming that the wave packet propagates on a harmonic, diabatic potential energy surface (Figure 5), where here “diabatic” means a zeroth-order potential energy surface. For reaction 9, however, electronic coupling is great enough that the wave packet moves essentially exclusively on the upper, adiabatic electronic surface. (Here “adiabatic” means the surface obtained after switching on electronic coupling, i.e., the actual surface encountered in the molecular system.) Furthermore, because the avoided crossing region is exceptionally close to the Franck-Condon region for the peculiar case of symmetrical mixed-valence systems, the diabatic and adiabatic energy surfaces diverge rapidly. Because the adiabatic surface is inherently anharmonic, the wave packet motion is difficult to evaluate analytically, at least when simultaneous motion along several vibrational coordinates must be considered (i.e., the case encountered here). From an approximate treatment, however, we find that the wave packet moves much more rapidly on the adiabatic surface than on the diabatic surface. Consequently, the overlap of the packet with the pertinent ground-state adiabatic wave function falls off much more rapidly in the adiabatic case, the absolute Raman scattering cross sections are much smaller, and the absolute scattering intensities are much smaller. Indeed, for reaction 9, we find that propagation on the adiabatic surface yields Raman scattering intensities that are a factor of 10 smaller than those obtained by propagating on the diabatic surface.

The vibrational requirements for intramolecular electron transfer between directly linked ferrocenium and ferrocene moieties, 14, have also been evaluated via intervalence excitation and Raman scattering.33 From X-ray crystallographic studies, symmetrical ring–metal–ring stretching was known to contribute to the ET vibrational barrier.34 We found that the Raman approach...
also did a reasonable job of capturing this information. But, it also indicated significant activity in two additional modes that were not so easily picked up via X-ray methods. We estimated that these extra modes increased the overall vibrational reorganization energy by roughly 60% and, therefore, would likely significantly modulate the corresponding electron exchange kinetics.

Applications to Electronic Structure Problems

Vibrational structural changes perform a dual function in symmetrical mixed-valence systems: They create barriers to intramolecular electron transfer, and they transiently trap electrons in local redox sites. In two-site systems, delocalization occurs when twice the electronic coupling energy, $2H_{ab}$, exceeds the total reorganizational or trapping energy (see Figure 5).35 The archetypical example of delocalized mixed valency is the Creutz-Taube ion, 15, where the needed coupling is engendered via good energy matching between the appropriate metal $d\sigma$ orbitals and bridging-ligand $\pi^*$ orbitals.36 In a conventional electronic description, the bridge orbitals are used in an indirect or superexchange sense to mix metal-based donor and acceptor orbitals. In an alternative description, suggested some time ago by electronic structure calculations, the bridge plays a more direct role, and a three-center/three-orbital mixing and delocalization scheme is implicated.37

By making Raman measurements under conditions of intervalence enhancement (i.e., excitation in the extended near-infrared region), we ought to be able to discern the mechanism for delocalization. In a two-state picture, intervalence excitation leads to almost no redistribution of charge, no coupling to totally symmetric modes of the bridging ligand, and only weak coupling to other modes, such as non-totally symmetric modes of the bridge. In a three-state picture, on the other hand, intervalence excitation redistributes charge symmetrically from the bridging ligand to each of two metal centers—resulting in no change in molecular dipole moment but a significant change in quadrupole moment (see Scheme 1). Furthermore, the electronic excitation should couple strongly to totally symmetric bridge modes, such as the $v_{6a}$ mode. Resonance Raman measurements of $h_2$ pyrazine and $d_4$ pyrazine versions of the Creutz-Taube ion in the extended near-infrared region ($\lambda_{exc} = 1320$ nm; $\lambda_{max} = 1610$ nm) have revealed a prominent totally symmetric pyrazine mode but little or no activity in non-totally symmetric modes.38 These findings are consistent with a three-center electronic description and with direct participation of the bridging ligand in the ground-state delocalization process.

Extension of the intervalence-enhanced Raman approach to the delocalized cyclam-based bimetallic complexes, 16 and 17, tells a similar story. The overall vibrational reorganization energies are small, but a totally symmetric vibration of the bridge dominates the scattering spectra.39

Finally, intervalence-enhanced resonance Raman spectra can provide insight into electronic interactions in lower symmetry, less strongly delocalized complexes. For example, we find that activity in the pyrazine $v_{6a}$ mode of compounds 18–23,40,41 as well as crown-ether complexes of 18,42 qualitatively correlates with the degree of ground-state valence delocalization implied by other diagnostics such as absorption bandwidths, redox symmetry, and thermochromic behavior.35,43

What Is Next?

The time-dependent Raman scattering approach will undoubtedly be applied to many additional problems involving chemically interesting charge-transfer systems. There are several existing charge-transfer-related dynamic structural problems, however, that are not so easily examined in this way. These problems would seem to invite the discovery and development of improved, or altogether new, experimental probes. A partial list of candidate problems could include the following: (a) mode-specific reorganizational energetics of the solvent,44 (b) breakdown of the Born–Oppenheimer approximation (separability of nuclear and electronic motion),25 (c) "down stream" structural changes, i.e., changes that are initiated only after the photoexcited system moves out of the Franck–Condon region,45 and (d) the role of coherent vibrational and electronic phenomena.46
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For simplicity, we consider the case where only Aalbrecht A-term enhancement occurs. Thus, we ignore effects due to upper excited-state interference, including Herzberg–Teller coupling and related vibronic coupling phenomena.

In the short-time dynamics limit, under postresonance excitation conditions, with a high-frequency mode dominating the response, the time-dependent treatment yields the following approximate relationship between scattering intensities, mode displacements and bond length changes (units of distance) can be interconverted via: \[ \Delta a_j = \frac{(\Delta B + aB)}{\mu b}, \] where \( \mu \) is the reduced mass of the oscillator and \( b \) is the bond degeneracy.
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